D-Theory: Asyptotically Free Quantum Fields from the Dimensional Reduction of Discrete Variables

Uwe-Jens Wiese

Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics Institute for Theoretical Physics, Bern University

> HHIQCD 2024 Yukawa Institute Kyoto, November 11, 2024



European Research Council

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS



Swiss National Science Foundation

Quantum Spin Models and Emergent Effective Field Theories

SU(N) Quantum Spins and Emergent $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ Models

From Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory to Quantum Link Models

U(1) Quantum Link Model on a Triangular Lattice

Non-Abelian Quantum Link Models and Emergent QCD

Quantum Spin Models and Emergent Effective Field Theories

SU(N) Quantum Spins and Emergent $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ Models

From Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory to Quantum Link Models

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

U(1) Quantum Link Model on a Triangular Lattice

Non-Abelian Quantum Link Models and Emergent QCD

The anti-ferromagnetic spin $\frac{1}{2}$ quantum Heisenberg model





Quantum spins $[S_x^a, S_y^b] = i\delta_{xy}\varepsilon_{abc}S_x^c$ and their Hamiltonian

$$H = J \sum_{\langle xy
angle} \vec{S_x} \cdot \vec{S_y} , \quad [H, \vec{S}] = 0 , \quad \vec{S} = \sum_x \vec{S_x}$$

Partition function at inverse temperature $\beta = 1/T$

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr} \exp(-\beta H)$$

Staggered magnetization order parameter

$$ec{M_s} = \sum_x (-1)^{(x_1+x_2)/a} \; ec{S_x}$$

signals spontaneous symmetry breaking $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$

$$rac{a^2}{L^2}|\langleec{M_s}
angle|=\mathcal{M}_s
eq 0$$
 at $T=0$

Magnon (Goldstone boson) field in $SU(2)/U(1) = S^2$

$$\vec{e}(x) = (e_1(x), e_2(x), e_3(x)), \quad \vec{e}(x)^2 = 1$$

Low-energy effective action for antiferromagnetic magnons

$$S[\vec{e}] = \int_0^\beta dt \int d^2x \; \frac{\rho_s}{2} \left(\partial_i \vec{e} \cdot \partial_i \vec{e} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \vec{e} \cdot \partial_t \vec{e} \right)$$

Chakravarty, Halperin, Nelson (1989) Neuberger, Ziemann (1989) Hasenfratz, Leutwyler (1990) Hasenfratz, Niedermayer (1993) Chubukov, Sentil, Sachdev (1994) Fit to analytic predictions of effective theory

$$\chi_{s} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{s}^{2}L^{2}\beta}{3} \left\{ 1 + 2\frac{c}{\rho_{s}LI}\beta_{1}(I) + \left(\frac{c}{\rho_{s}LI}\right)^{2} \left[\beta_{1}(I)^{2} + 3\beta_{2}(I)\right] \right\}$$

$$\chi_{u} = \frac{2\rho_{s}}{3c^{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{c}{\rho_{s} L l} \widetilde{\beta}_{1}(l) + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{c}{\rho_{s} L l} \right)^{2} \left[\widetilde{\beta}_{2}(l) - \frac{1}{3} \widetilde{\beta}_{1}(l)^{2} - 6\psi(l) \right] \right\}$$



 $\mathcal{M}_s = 0.30743(1)/a^2, \quad \rho_s = 0.18081(11)J, \quad c = 1.6586(3)Ja$ UJW, Ying (1994); Sandvik, Evertz (2010); Jiang, UJW (2010)

Excellent agreement with effective field theory predictions for the constraint effective potential: Göckeler, Leutwyler (1991)



Heisenberg model: Gerber, Hofmann, Jiang, Nyfeler, UJW (2009)



XY model: Gerber, Hofmann, Jiang, Palma, Stebler, UJW (2011) Jiang (2010) $\mathcal{M} = 0.43561(1)/a^2$, $\rho = 0.26974(5)J$, c = 1.1348(5)Ja

Quantum Spin Models and Emergent Effective Field Theories

SU(N) Quantum Spins and Emergent $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ Models

From Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory to Quantum Link Models

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

U(1) Quantum Link Model on a Triangular Lattice

Non-Abelian Quantum Link Models and Emergent QCD

 $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ Models from SU(N) quantum spins

$$T_x^a$$
, $a \in \{1, 2, ..., N^2 - 1\}$, $[T_x^a, T_y^b] = i\delta_{xy}f_{abc}T_x^c$

Spin ladder Hamiltonian





Conserved SU(N) spin $T^a = \sum_{x \in A} T^a_x - \sum_{x \in B} T^{a*}_x, \quad [T^a, H] = 0$

B. B. Beard, M. Pepe, S. Riederer, UJW, PRL 94 (2005) 010603.

Goldstone boson fields in $\mathbb{C}P(N-1) = SU(N)/U(N-1)$

$$P(x)^{\dagger} = P(x), \quad \text{Tr}P(x) = 1, \quad P(x)^{2} = P(x)$$

Low-energy effective action

$$S[P] = \int_{0}^{\beta} dt \int_{0}^{L} dx \int_{0}^{L'} dy \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \rho'_{s} \partial_{y} P \partial_{y} P + \rho_{s} \left[\partial_{x} P \partial_{x} P + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \partial_{t} P \partial_{t} P \right] - \frac{1}{a} P \partial_{x} P \partial_{t} P \right\}$$

Topological charge

$$Q[P] = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^\beta dt \int_0^L dx \operatorname{Tr}[P\partial_x P\partial_t P] \in \Pi_2[SU(N)/U(N-1)] = Z$$

- ◆ □ ▶ → 個 ▶ → 注 ▶ → 注 → のへで

Very large correlation length

$$\xi \propto \exp(4\pi L'
ho_s/cN) \gg L'$$

Dimensional reduction to the (1+1)-d $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ model

$$S[P] = \int_0^\beta dt \int_0^L dx \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{g^2} \left[\partial_x P \partial_x P + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t P \partial_t P \right] - n P \partial_x P \partial_t P \right\}$$

Emergent asymptotically free coupling and θ -vacuum angle

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = L' \rho_s, \quad \theta = n\pi$$

Correlation lengths in the $\mathbb{C}P(2)$ model

 $\xi(2) = 4.0(1), \quad \xi(4) = 17.6(2), \quad \xi(6) = 61(2), \quad \xi(6) = 61$

Step-scaling approach M. Lüscher, P. Weisz, and U. Wolff, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 221



Universal step-scaling function

$$F(z) = \xi(2L)/\xi(L) , \quad z = \xi(L)/L$$

B. B. Beard, M. Pepe, S. Riederer, UJW, PRL 94 (2005) 010603.



W. Evans, U. Gerber, M. Hornung, UJW, Annals Phys. 398 (2018) 92.

Ladder of SU(N) quantum spins embodied with alkaline-earth atoms

$$H = -J\sum_{\langle xy\rangle} T_x^a T_y^{a*}, \quad [T_x^a, T_y^b] = i\delta_{xy} f_{abc} T_x^c$$



C. Laflamme, W. Evans, M. Dalmonte, U. Gerber, H. Mejia-Diaz, W. Bietenholz, UJW, and P. Zoller, Annals Phys. 360 (2016) 117.

Quantum Spin Models and Emergent Effective Field Theories

SU(N) Quantum Spins and Emergent $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ Models

From Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory to Quantum Link Models U(1) Quantum Link Model on a Triangular Lattice Non-Abelian Quantum Link Models and Emergent QCD

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Can heavy-ion collision physics or nuclear astrophysics benefit from quantum simulations in the long run?







~ ~ ~ ~

Richard Feynman's vision of 1982 (Int. J. Theor. Phys. 21)



"It does seem to be true that all the various field theories have the same kind of behavior, and can be simulated in every way, apparently, with little latticeworks of spins and other things."

Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's U(1) lattice gauge theory

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$U=\exp(iarphi), \ U^{\dagger}=\exp(-iarphi)\in U(1)$$

Electric field operator E

$$E = -i\partial_{\varphi}, \ [E, U] = U, \ [E, U^{\dagger}] = -U^{\dagger}, \ [U, U^{\dagger}] = 0$$

Generator of U(1) gauge transformations

$$G_x = \sum_i (E_{x-\hat{i},i} - E_{x,i}), \quad [H, G_x] = 0$$

U(1) gauge invariant Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{x,i} E_{x,i}^2 - \frac{1}{2e^2} \sum_{x,i\neq j} (U_{x,i}U_{x+\hat{i},j}U_{x+\hat{j},i}^{\dagger}U_{x,j}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.})$$

operates in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space per link

Quantum link formulation of U(1) lattice gauge theory

$$\begin{array}{c}
E_{x,i}\\
\bullet & U_{x,i} & x + \hat{i}
\end{array}$$

$$U=S^+, \ U^\dagger=S^-$$

Electric field operator E

$$E = S^3, \ [E, U] = U, \ [E, U^{\dagger}] = -U^{\dagger}, \ [U, U^{\dagger}] = 2E$$

Generator of U(1) gauge transformations

$$G_{x} = \sum_{i} (E_{x-\hat{i},i} - E_{x,i}), \quad [H, G_{x}] = 0$$

U(1) gauge invariant Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{x,i} E_{x,i}^2 - \frac{1}{2e^2} \sum_{x,i\neq j} (U_{x,i}U_{x+\hat{i},j}U_{x+\hat{j},i}^{\dagger}U_{x,j}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.})$$

operates in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space per link

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Quantum Spin Models and Emergent Effective Field Theories

SU(N) Quantum Spins and Emergent $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ Models

From Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory to Quantum Link Models

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

U(1) Quantum Link Model on a Triangular Lattice

Non-Abelian Quantum Link Models and Emergent QCD

 $U(1) \text{ quantum links from spins } \frac{1}{2} \qquad \underbrace{E_{x,i}}_{x \quad U_{x,i} \quad x + \hat{i}}$ $U = S^{1} + iS^{2} = S^{+}, \ U^{\dagger} = S^{1} - iS^{2} = S^{-}$ Electric flux operator *E*

$$E = S^3, \ [E, U] = U, \ [E, U^{\dagger}] = -U^{\dagger}, \ [U, U^{\dagger}] = 2E$$

Ring-exchange plaquette Hamiltonian

$$H=-rac{1}{2e^2}\sum_{ riangle}(U_{ riangle}+U_{ riangle}^{\dagger})\;,\quad U_{ riangle}=U_{12}U_{23}U_{31}$$

Triangular lattice and Gauss law



D. Horn, Phys. Lett. B100 (1981) 149 P. Orland, D. Rohrlich, Nucl. Phys. B338 (1990) 647 S. Chandrasekharan, UJW, Nucl. Phys. B492 (1997) 455



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - の久(で)

Hamiltonian with Rokhsar-Kivelson term

$$H=-rac{1}{2e^2}\left[\sum_{ riangle}(U_{ riangle}+U_{ riangle}^{\dagger})-\lambda\sum_{ riangle}(U_{ riangle}+U_{ riangle}^{\dagger})^2
ight]$$

Phase diagram



D. Banerjee, S. Caspar, F.-J. Jiang, J.-H. Peng, UJW, Phys. Rev. Research 4 (2022) 023176

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

Energy distribution for the strings connecting external charges Charges ± 1 (a) and ± 2 (b) for $\lambda > \lambda_c$



D. Banerjee, S. Caspar, F.-J. Jiang, J.-H. Peng, UJW, Phys. Rev. Research 4 (2022) 023176

Circuit decomposition of the time-evolution operator



Time-evolution of the order parameters



Banerjee, S. Caspar, F.-J. Jiang, J.-H. Peng, UJW, Phys. Rev. Research 4 (2022) 023176

Quantum Spin Models and Emergent Effective Field Theories

SU(N) Quantum Spins and Emergent $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ Models

From Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory to Quantum Link Models

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

U(1) Quantum Link Model on a Triangular Lattice

Non-Abelian Quantum Link Models and Emergent QCD

U(N) guantum link operators $U^{ij} = S_1^{ij} + iS_2^{ij}, \ U^{ij\dagger} = S_1^{ij} - iS_2^{ij}, \ i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \ [U^{ij}, (U^{\dagger})^{kl}] \neq 0$ $SU(N)_{I} \times SU(N)_{R}$ gauge transformations of a quantum link $[L^a, L^b] = if_{abc}L^c, \ [R^a, R^b] = if_{abc}R^c, \ a, b, c \in \{1, 2, \dots, N^2 - 1\}$ $[L^{a}, R^{b}] = [L^{a}, E] = [R^{a}, E] = 0$ Infinitesimal gauge transformations of a quantum link $[L^a, U] = -\lambda^a U, \ [R^a, U] = U\lambda^a, \ [E, U] = U$ Algebraic structures of different quantum link models U(N): U^{ij} , L^{a} , R^{a} , E, $2N^{2}+2(N^{2}-1)+1 = 4N^{2}-1$ SU(2N) generators $SO(N): O^{ij}, L^{a}, R^{a}, N^{2}+2\frac{N(N-1)}{2} = N(2N-1) SO(2N)$ generators $S_{p}(N)$: U^{ij} , L^{a} , R^{a} , $4N^{2}+2N(2N+1) = 2N(4N+1) S_{p}(2N)$ generators R. Brower, S. Chandrasekharan, UJW, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 094502

Low-energy effective action of a quantum link model

$$S[G_{\mu}] = \int_{0}^{\beta} dx_{5} \int d^{4}x \, \frac{1}{2e^{2}} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \ G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} \ \partial_{5} G_{\mu} \partial_{5} G_{\mu} \right), \ G_{5} = 0$$

undergoes dimensional reduction from 4+1 to 4 dimensions

$$S[G_{\mu}] \rightarrow \int d^{4}x \ \frac{1}{2g^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} \ G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}, \ \frac{1}{g^{2}} = \frac{\beta}{e^{2}}, \ \frac{1}{m} \sim \exp\left(\frac{24\pi^{2}\beta}{11Ne^{2}}\right)$$

^{4-d ordinary lattice}

^{3-d quantum link model}

^{5-d quantum link model}

 $f = \frac{1}{m}$

 $f = \frac{1}{m}$

 $f = \frac{1}{pc}$

 $f = \frac{1}{pc}$

Quarks as Domain Wall Fermions

$$H = J \sum_{x,\mu\neq\nu} \operatorname{Tr}[U_{x,\mu}U_{x+\hat{\mu},\nu}U_{x+\hat{\nu},\mu}^{\dagger}U_{x,\nu}^{\dagger}] + J' \sum_{x,\mu} [\det U_{x,\mu} + \det U_{x,\mu}^{\dagger}]$$

+ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,\mu} [\Psi_{x}^{\dagger}\gamma_{0}\gamma_{\mu}U_{x,\mu}\Psi_{x+\hat{\mu}} - \Psi_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{\dagger}\gamma_{0}\gamma_{\mu}U_{x,\mu}^{\dagger}\Psi_{x}] + M \sum_{x} \Psi_{x}^{\dagger}\gamma_{0}\Psi_{x}$
+ $\frac{r}{2} \sum_{x,\mu} [2\Psi_{x}^{\dagger}\gamma_{0}\Psi_{x} - \Psi_{x}^{\dagger}\gamma_{0}U_{x,\mu}\Psi_{x+\hat{\mu}} - \Psi_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{\dagger}\gamma_{0}U_{x,\mu}^{\dagger}\Psi_{x}].$

$$\mu = 2M \exp(-M\beta), \ \frac{1}{m} \propto \exp(\frac{24\pi^2\beta}{(11N - 2N_f)e^2}), \ M > \frac{24\pi^2}{(11N - 2N_f)e^2}$$

Quantum Spin Models and Emergent Effective Field Theories

SU(N) Quantum Spins and Emergent $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ Models

From Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory to Quantum Link Models

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

U(1) Quantum Link Model on a Triangular Lattice

Non-Abelian Quantum Link Models and Emergent QCD

Fermionic rishons at the two ends of a link

$$\{c_x^i, c_y^{j\dagger}\} = \delta_{xy}\delta_{ij}, \ \{c_x^i, c_y^j\} = \{c_x^{i\dagger}, c_y^{j\dagger}\} = 0$$

Rishon representation of link algebra

$$\begin{array}{c} c_x^i & c_y^j \\ \bullet & U_{ij} & y \end{array}$$

$$U_{xy}^{ij} = c_x^i c_y^{j\dagger}, \ L_{xy}^a = c_x^{i\dagger} \lambda_{ij}^a c_x^j, \ R_{xy}^a = c_y^{i\dagger} \lambda_{ij}^a c_y^j, \ E_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} (c_y^{i\dagger} c_y^i - c_x^{i\dagger} c_x^i)$$

Can a "rishon abacus" implemented with ultra-cold atoms be
used as a quantum simulator?

-

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

æ







Optical lattice with ultra-cold alkaline-earth atoms $({}^{87}Sr \text{ or } {}^{173}Yb)$ with color encoded in nuclear spin



D. Banerjee, M. Bögli, M. Dalmonte, E. Rico, P. Stebler, UJW, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 125303

(日)、

э

Conclusions

• Quantum link models provide an alternative formulation of lattice gauge theory with a finite-dimensional Hilbert space per link, which allows implementations with ultra-cold atoms in optical lattices.

• Quantum simulator constructions have already been presented for Wilson's lattice gauge theory as well as for the U(1) quantum link model with fermionic matter using ultra-cold Bose-Fermi mixtures. $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$ models as well as non-Abelian U(N) and SU(N) quantum link models can be embodied by alkaline-earth atoms.

• This allows the quantum simulation of the real-time evolution of string breaking as well as false vacuum decay. Accessible effects also include chiral symmetry restoration at high baryon density or the expansion of a hot quark-gluon plasma.

• In quantum spin and quantum link models regularizing asymptotically free theories, including (1 + 1)-d $\mathbb{C}P(N - 1)$ models and (3 + 1)-d QCD, the continuum limit is taken by dimensional reduction of discrete variables.

• The path towards quantum simulation of QCD will be a long one. However, with a lot of interesting physics along the way.