

STITUTE for UCLEAR THEORY

Connecting Quarks to the Cosmos: The Role of Quark Mass

YITP Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symposium Kyoto, October 31, 2024

Network for Neutrinos, Nuclear Astrophysics

STITUTE for UCLEAR THEORY

Connecting Quarks to the Cosmos: The Role of Quark Mass

YITP Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symposium Kyoto, October 31, 2024

- Introduction.
- Quark mass in EFT and pion coupling to two nucleons.
- A new class of threenucleon forces.
- Axion condensation in neutron stars.
- Conclusions.

Network for Neutrinos, Nuclear Astrophysics,

STITUTE for UCLEAR THEORY

Connecting Quarks to the Cosmos: The Role of Quark Mass

YITP Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symposium Kyoto, October 31, 2024

- Introduction.
- Quark mass in EFT and pion coupling to two nucleons.
- A new class of threenucleon forces. arXiv: 2410.2xxxx
- Axion condensation in neutron stars.
- Conclusions.

Network for Neutrinos, Nuclear Astrophysics,

STITUTE for UCLEAR THEORY

Connecting Quarks to the Cosmos: The Role of Quark Mass

YITP Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symposium Kyoto, October 31, 2024

- Introduction.
- Quark mass in EFT and pion coupling to two nucleons.
- A new class of threenucleon forces. arXiv: 2410.2xxxx
- Axion condensation in neutron stars. arXiv: 2410.21590
- Conclusions.

Network for Neutrinos, Nuclear Astrophysics,

4 $G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a$

$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *f* $\bar{\psi}_{\alpha f}\left(\gamma^{\mu}(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-g(T_{a}G_{\mu}^{a})_{\alpha\beta}+m_{f}\right)\psi_{\beta f}-\frac{1}{4}$ A Simple Lagrangian with Marvelous Emergent Complexity at Low-Energy:

4 $G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a$

$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *f* $\bar{\psi}_{\alpha f}\left(\gamma^{\mu}(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-g(T_{a}G_{\mu}^{a})_{\alpha\beta}+m_{f}\right)\psi_{\beta f}-\frac{1}{4}$ A Simple Lagrangian with Marvelous Emergent Complexity at Low-Energy:

Running Coupling

https://pdg.lbl.gov/2021/reviews/

4 $G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a$

$\begin{array}{ccc} m_u \approx 2.5 \text{ MeV} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_d \approx 5 \text{ MeV} & 0 \end{array}$ 0 $m_s \approx 100$ MeV **Quark Mass Matrix**

$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *f* $\bar{\psi}_{\alpha f}\left(\gamma^{\mu}(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-g(T_{a}G_{\mu}^{a})_{\alpha\beta}+m_{f}\right)\psi_{\beta f}-\frac{1}{4}$ A Simple Lagrangian with Marvelous Emergent Complexity at Low-Energy:

Running Coupling

https://pdg.lbl.gov/2021/reviews/

4 $G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a$

Quark Mass Matrix

 $m_u \approx 2.5 \text{ MeV}$ 0 0 0 $m_d \approx 5 \text{ MeV}$ 0 0 $m_s \approx 100 \text{ MeV}$

$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *f* $\bar{\psi}_{\alpha f}\left(\gamma^{\mu}(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-g(T_{a}G_{\mu}^{a})_{\alpha\beta}+m_{f}\right)\psi_{\beta f}-\frac{1}{4}$ A Simple Lagrangian with Marvelous Emergent Complexity at Low-Energy:

Heavy Quarks (unimportant at low-energy): $m_t \approx 170 \text{ GeV}$

https://pdg.lbl.gov/2021/reviews/

Running Coupling

Quark Mass Matrix

 $\begin{cases} m_u \approx 2.5 \text{ MeV} & 0 \\ 0 & m_d \approx 5 \text{ MeV} \end{cases}$ 0 0 $m_d \approx 5 \text{ MeV}$ 0 0 $m_s \approx 100 \text{ MeV}$

Heavy Quarks (unimportant at low-energy): $m_t \approx 170 \text{ GeV}$ *μνG*

a

https://pdg.lbl.gov/2021/reviews/

$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *f* $\bar{\psi}_{\alpha f}\left(\gamma^{\mu}(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-g(T_{a}G_{\mu}^{a})_{\alpha\beta}+m_{f}\right)\psi_{\beta f}-\frac{1}{4}$ 4 $G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a$ A Simple Lagrangian with Marvelous Emergent Complexity at Low-Energy: $+\theta \frac{g^2}{2}$ $\frac{\delta}{32\pi^2} G^a_\mu$

Running Coupling

Quark Mass Matrix

 $\begin{array}{ccc} m_u \approx 2.5 \text{ MeV} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_d \approx 5 \text{ MeV} & 0 \end{array}$ 0 $m_d \approx 5 \text{ MeV}$ 0 $m_s \approx 100 \text{ MeV}$

Heavy Quarks (unimportant at low-energy): $m_b \approx 4 \text{ GeV}$ $m_t \approx 170 \text{ GeV}$ *μνG*

˜ *μν*

a

- Source of CP violation
- *dn* ≈ 3 × 10−¹⁶ e cm Induces neutron EDM:
- Experimental bound: *dn* ≲ 10−²⁶ e cm or $\theta \lesssim 10^{-10}$

θ-QCD

https://pdg.lbl.gov/2021/reviews/

$L = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *f* $\bar{\psi}_{\alpha f}\left(\gamma^{\mu}(\delta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-g(T_{a}G_{\mu}^{a})_{\alpha\beta}+m_{f}\right)\psi_{\beta f}-\frac{1}{4}$ 4 $G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a$ A Simple Lagrangian with Marvelous Emergent Complexity at Low-Energy: $+\theta \frac{g^2}{22}$ $\frac{\delta}{32\pi^2} G^a_\mu$

Running Coupling

How do (light) quark masses affect low-energy nuclear physics?

J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela ́ez (2013), J. Donoghue (2006) , Beane and Savage (2003),

$$
K_{m_{\pi}} = \frac{m_q}{m_{\pi}} \frac{\delta m_{\pi}}{\delta m_q} \simeq 0.5
$$

$$
K_{m_n} = \frac{m_q}{m_n} \frac{\delta m_n}{\delta m_q} \approx \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_n} \frac{\delta m_n}{\delta m_\pi^2} \simeq 0.05
$$

How do (light) quark masses affect low-energy nuclear physics?

J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela ́ez (2013), J. Donoghue (2006) , Beane and Savage (2003),

$$
K_{m_{\pi}} = \frac{m_q}{m_{\pi}} \frac{\delta m_{\pi}}{\delta m_q} \simeq 0.5
$$

$$
K_{m_n} = \frac{m_q}{m_n} \frac{\delta m_n}{\delta m_q} \approx \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_n} \frac{\delta m_n}{\delta m_\pi^2} \simeq 0.05
$$

Masses of heavier vector mesons are relatively insensitive to the quark mass.

$$
K_{m_{\rho}} = \frac{m_q}{m_{\rho}} \frac{\delta m_{\rho}}{\delta m_q} \simeq 0.05
$$

How do (light) quark masses affect low-energy nuclear physics?

J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela ́ez (2013), J. Donoghue (2006) , Beane and Savage (2003),

$$
K_{m_{\pi}} = \frac{m_q}{m_{\pi}} \frac{\delta m_{\pi}}{\delta m_q} \simeq 0.5
$$

$$
K_{m_n} = \frac{m_q}{m_n} \frac{\delta m_n}{\delta m_q} \approx \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_n} \frac{\delta m_n}{\delta m_\pi^2} \simeq 0.05
$$

Masses of heavier vector mesons are relatively insensitive to the quark mass.

Mass of the scalar sigma meson

$$
K_{m_{\rho}} = \frac{m_q}{m_{\rho}} \frac{\delta m_{\rho}}{\delta m_q} \simeq 0.05
$$

$$
K_{m_{\sigma}} = \frac{m_q}{m_{\sigma}} \frac{\delta m_{\sigma}}{\delta m_q} \simeq 0.1
$$

J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela ́ez (2013), E. Epelbaum and J. Gegelia (2013), J. Donoghue (2006) , E. Epelbaum, U.-G. Meißner, W. Glo"ckle (2003), Beane and Savage (2003), Bulgac, Miller, Strikman (1997).

Short answer: We do not really know.

• The effect on pion-exchange is easy to implement, but effects at short distances are not.

J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela ́ez (2013), E. Epelbaum and J. Gegelia (2013), J. Donoghue (2006) , E. Epelbaum, U.-G. Meißner, W. Glo"ckle (2003), Beane and Savage (2003), Bulgac, Miller, Strikman (1997).

Short answer: We do not really know.

• The effect on pion-exchange is easy to implement, but effects at short distances are not.

J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela ́ez (2013), E. Epelbaum and J. Gegelia (2013), J. Donoghue (2006) , E. Epelbaum, U.-G. Meißner, W. Glo ckle (2003), Beane and Savage (2003), Bulgac, Miller, Strikman (1997).

Short answer: We do not really know.

- The effect on pion-exchange is easy to implement, but effects at short distances are not.
- Models with reasonable assumptions suggest that the deuteron binding energy increases with decreasing pion mass.

J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela ́ez (2013), E. Epelbaum and J. Gegelia (2013), J. Donoghue (2006) , E. Epelbaum, U.-G. Meißner, W. Glo"ckle (2003), Beane and Savage (2003), Bulgac, Miller, Strikman (1997).

Short answer: We do not really know.

Effect of quark mass (pion mass) on the scattering length:

$$
K_{a_s} = \frac{m_q}{a_s} \frac{\delta a_s}{\delta m_q} \simeq 2.4 \pm 3
$$
 J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, e

$$
\simeq 5 \pm 5
$$
 Beane and Savage (2003)

 $\approx 2.3\pm 1.9$ E. Epelbaum, U.-G. Meißner, W. Glo"ckle (2003)

- The effect on pion-exchange is easy to implement, but effects at short distances are not.
- Models with reasonable assumptions suggest that the deuteron binding energy increases with decreasing pion mass.

*J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela ez (2013), P.F. Bedaque, T. Luu, and L. Platter (2011), V. V. Flambaum and R. B. Wiringa (2007), J. C. Berengut, V. V. Flambaum, and V. F. Dmitriev (2010), O. Civitarese, M. A. Moline', and M. E. Mosquera (2010), A. Coc, P. Descouvemont, K.A. Olive, J.-P. Uzan, and E. Vangioni (2012), V. V. Flambaum and E. V. Shuryak (2003), V. V. Flambaum, A. Holl, P. Jaikumar, C. D. Roberts, and S. V. Wright (2006), A. Holl, P. Maris, C. D. Roberts, and S. V. Wright (2006),V. V. Flambaum (2005),V. V. Flambaum, D. B. Leinweber, A. W. Thomas, and R. D. Young (2004).

 $-2\% <$

Variations of Quark Mass in the Early Universe? Earlier studies*: Measured abundances of BBN and estimates of the quark mass dependence of 1 and 2 nucleon systems to constrain variation for quark mass

J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pela'ez (2013)

Variations of Quark Mass & Triple-apha Reaction

**D. Lee, E. Epelbaum, H. Krebs,T. A. Laehde, Ulf-G. Meissner (2013)

Earlier studies** showed that a few percent variation in the quark masses would do damage to the triple-alpa reaction in stars. If the Hoyle state was not at the finely tuned energy there would be either too little or too much Carbon.

Part 1 : Quark Mass Dependence of Short-Range Nuclear Forces and its implications.

VTXDUHV DQG FURVVHG VTXDUHV UHIHU WR YHUWLFHV ZLWK ∆*ⁱ* = 0*,* 1*,* 2 DQG 4 UHVSHFWLYHO\

7KH TXD, *κα*ii Z_{KL}FK HQWHUV WKLV WKLV WKLV HISU WKLV WKLV WKH FDQRQLFDO ILHOG GLPHQVLRQ RI D YHUWHI RI D YHUWH
D YHUWH FDQRQLFDO ILHOG GLPHQVLRQ RI D YHUWH FDQRQLFDO ILHOG GLPHQVLRQ RI D YHUWH FDQRQLFDO ILHOG GLPHQVLR Beane, Bedaque, Epelbaum, Kaplan, Machliedt, Meisner, Phillips, Savage, van Klock, Weinberg, Wise ..

VTXDUHV DQG FURVVHG VTXDUHV UHIHU WR YHUWLFHV ZLWK ∆*ⁱ* = 0*,* 1*,* 2 DQG 4 UHVSHFWLYHO\

7KH TXD, *κα*ii Z_{KL}FK HQWHUV WKLV WKLV WKLV HISU WKLV WKLV WKH FDQRQLFDO ILHOG GLPHQVLRQ RI D YHUWHI RI D YHUWH
D YHUWH FDQRQLFDO ILHOG GLPHQVLRQ RI D YHUWH FDQRQLFDO ILHOG GLPHQVLRQ RI D YHUWH FDQRQLFDO ILHOG GLPHQVLR Beane, Bedaque, Epelbaum, Kaplan, Machliedt, Meisner, Phillips, Savage, van Klock, Weinberg, Wise ..

Quark (pion) mass-dependence of NN interaction in EFT

+

 $C_0 + D_2 m_\pi^2$

Analysis of 2-nucleon scattering in Lattice QCD for different values m_π could, in principle, determine D_2 but systematics are too large at this time. Beane, Bedaque, Detmold, Savage (NPLQCD), Walker-Loud (Cal-Lat), Aoki, Hatsuda, Ishii (HAL QCD Collaboration), ….

Quark (pion) mass-dependence of NN interaction in EFT

+

Renormalization requires D_2 **:**

To obtain a scattering amplitude that is independent of regularization or cut-off Λ requires:

$$
V_{LO}(q) = \qquad C_0 + D_2 \; m_\pi^2 \qquad + \qquad \qquad \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} g_A^2 & \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{q} \; \sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{q} \\ \hline 4f_\pi^2 & \mathbf{q}^2 + m_\pi^2 \end{array}}_{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \; \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2
$$

$$
\Lambda \frac{d}{d\Lambda} \left(\frac{D_2}{C_0^2} \right)_{\text{KSW}} = \frac{g_A^2 m_N^2}{64\pi^2 f_\pi^2}
$$

Analysis of 2-nucleon scattering in Lattice QCD for different values m_π could, in principle, determine D_2 but systematics are too large at this time. Beane, Bedaque, Detmold, Savage (NPLQCD), Walker-Loud (Cal-Lat), Aoki, Hatsuda, Ishii (HAL QCD Collaboration), ….

Kaplan, Savage, Wise (1998)

Quark (pion) mass-dependence of NN interaction in EFT

+

Renormalization requires D_2 **:**

To obtain a scattering amplitude that is independent of regularization or cut-off Λ requires:

$$
V_{LO}(q) = \qquad C_0 + D_2 \; m_\pi^2 \qquad + \qquad \qquad \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} g_A^2 & \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{q} \; \sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{q} \\ \hline 4f_\pi^2 & \mathbf{q}^2 + m_\pi^2 \end{array}}_{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \; \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2
$$

$$
\Lambda \frac{d}{d\Lambda} \left(\frac{D_2}{C_0^2} \right)_{\text{KSW}} = \frac{g_A^2 m_N^2}{64\pi^2 f_\pi^2}
$$

Analysis of 2-nucleon scattering in Lattice QCD for different values m_π could, in principle, determine D_2 but systematics are too large at this time. Beane, Bedaque, Detmold, Savage (NPLQCD), Walker-Loud (Cal-Lat), Aoki, Hatsuda, Ishii (HAL QCD Collaboration), ….

Kaplan, Savage, Wise (1998)

D_2 and Coupling to Pions

Chiral symmetry requires that pion mass terms only appear in a specified form:

This induces a coupling of pions to two-nucleons: $\overbrace{\qquad \qquad }^{+}$

The LEC D_2 could be determined by pion-nucleus scattering but it will likely be challenging.

 $D_2, E_2, \& F_2$ are enhanced for the same reason and apriori expected to be of similar size.

Typical size of these LECs: $D_2 \approx E_2 \approx F_2 \approx$

In Weinberg counting (which discounts RG invariance): *Read invariance*

Two more enhanced pion-two-nucleon couplings: E_2 and F_2

B. Borasoy and H. W. Griesshammer (2001), (2003)

$$
\frac{1}{5f_{\pi}^4}
$$

ariance): $D_2 \approx E_2 \approx F_2 \approx \frac{1}{\Lambda_N^2 f_{\pi}^2} \approx \frac{1}{50 f_{\pi}^4}$

Implications of a Stronger Pion Coupling to Two Nucleons.

Pion-mass shift at finite density:

$$
\delta m_{\pi}^2 \approx \frac{(D_2 m_{\pi}^2 + E_2 \omega^2)}{2f_{\pi}^2} n_B^2
$$

Enhanced contribution to the 3NF:

Implications of a Stronger Pion Coupling to Two Nucleons.

$$
\delta m_{\pi}^2 \approx \frac{(D_2 m_{\pi}^2 + E_2 \omega^2)}{2f_{\pi}^2} n_B^2
$$

Pion-mass shift at finite density:

Enhanced contribution to the 3NF:

Fore, Kaiser, Reddy, Warrington (2024).

Implications of a Stronger Pion Coupling to Two Nucleons.

$$
\delta m_{\pi}^2 \approx \frac{(D_2 m_{\pi}^2 + E_2 \omega^2)}{2f_{\pi}^2} n_B^2
$$

Pion-mass shift at finite density:

Enhanced contribution to the 3NF:

Fore, Kaiser, Reddy, Warrington (2024). Cirigliano, Dawid, Dekens, Reddy (2024 in prep.)

The pion dispersion relation in nuclear matter is given by $\;\omega^2 - m_\pi^2 - \Pi_{\text{sym}}(\omega, k_F) = 0$

N. Kaiser, W. Weise (2001), E. E. Kolomeitsev, N. Kaiser, W. Weise (2003)

The pion dispersion relation in nuclear matter is given by $\;\omega^2 - m_\pi^2 - \Pi_{\text{sym}}(\omega, k_F) = 0$

 $\left| \ \right|$

B. Fore, N. Kaiser, S. Reddy, N. Warrington (2024).

A New Class of Three Nucleon Forces

Maria Dawid Wouter Dekens

V. Cirigliano, M. Dawid, W. Dekens, S. Reddy (2024)

 $V_{\textit{iik}}^{i'j'k'}$ $\vec{q}^{ij'k'}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \vec{q}_3) = -\frac{9g_A^2D_2m_\pi^3}{128\pi f^4}$ $\ddot{}$ $128π*f*$ ⁴ $π$ *κi*′*j*′ $\frac{dJ}{d\vec{y}} \delta_{kk'} \mathcal{I}$ $\vec{q}_3^{\,2}$ 3

$$
\frac{\vec{q}_3^2}{4m_\pi^2}
$$
 where $\mathcal{I}(b) = \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} + \sqrt{b} \right) \cot^{-1}(1/\sqrt{b}) \right)$

$$
V_{ijk}^{ij'k'}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \vec{q}_3) = -\frac{15F_2 g_A^2 m_\pi^3}{16\pi f_\pi^4} \delta_{kk'} \left(\vec{f}_2^S \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'} + \vec{f}_2^T \vec{\sigma}_{ii'} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{jj'} \right) \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{\vec{q}_3^2}{4m_\pi^2} \right) \text{ where } \mathcal{J}(b) = \frac{3}{5} \left((1+2b)\mathcal{J}(b) + \frac{2}{3} \right)
$$

3NF due to pion coupling to two nucleons

D_2 and F_2 Contributions to the Energy are Large

In neutron and nuclear matter, the leading 3NF plays a critical role.

The new 3NF can be large enough to compete with the NNLO forces currently employed in Chiral EFT.

The uncertainty is large because $D_2 \& F_2$ are not yet known.

Nuclear structure and pion-nucleus scattering data can independently constrain D_2 $\&$ $F_2.$

Independent determinations would test the convergence of EFT and estimates of truncation errors.

V. Cirigliano, M. Dawid, W. Dekens, S. Reddy (2024)

D_2 and F_2 Contributions to the Energy are Large

In neutron and nuclear matter, the leading 3NF plays a critical role.

The new 3NF can be large enough to compete with the NNLO forces currently employed in Chiral EFT.

The uncertainty is large because $D_2 \& F_2$ are not yet known.

Nuclear structure and pion-nucleus scattering data can independently constrain D_2 $\&$ $F_2.$

-
- Independent determinations would test the convergence of EFT and estimates of truncation errors.

V. Cirigliano, M. Dawid, W. Dekens, S. Reddy (2024)

Neutron Matter: Underestimating Errors?

Current Paradigm:

Leading 3NF is determined by pionnucleon scattering data. Independent of multinucleon information . Errors are small becasue there are no 3NF shortdistance contributions.

Pion coupling to twonucleons can play a role. Information about twonucleon dynamics influences 3NF to ensure proper renormalization. Error estimates will likely need revsion.

$P(n_{\text{sat}}) = 3.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ MeV/fm}^3$ Chiral EFT at N2L0 predicts

Our calculation:

We estimate the contribution to the pressure from our new 3NFs to be:

 $D_2^{\text{ref}} = F_2^{\text{ref}} =$ 1 5*f*⁴ *π* where

I. Tews,R. Somasundaram,D. Lonardoni,H. Göttling, R. Seutin, J. Carlson S. Gandolfi,K. Hebeler, A. Schwenk (2024)

$$
\delta P_{3\text{NF}} = \left[0.7 \left(\frac{D_2}{D_2^{\text{ref}}} \right) + 8.8 \left(\frac{F_2}{F_2^{\text{ref}}} \right) \right]
$$

MeV fm3

C. Drischler, R. J. Furnstahl, J. A. Meleldez, D. R. Phillips (2021)

$P(n_{\text{sat}}) = 2.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ (MeV/fm}^3)$

V. Cirigliano, M. Dawid, W. Dekens, S. Reddy (2024)

Nuclear Symmetry Energy

$$
\frac{\delta S_0}{\text{MeV}} \simeq (9 \ D_2 + 96 \ F_2) \ f_\pi^4
$$

$$
\frac{\delta L}{\text{MeV}} \simeq (13 \ D_2 + 166 \ F_2) \ f_\pi^4
$$

Approximate agreement between current ChiEFT calcualtions of neutron matter and experiment suggests either a large cancellation or anamolosly small values for the $\overline{D_2}$ and $\overline{F_2}$

Constraints on D_2 and F_2 from light-nuclei would provide useful guidance.

The new 3NFs can significantly alter the symmetry energy and it slope at saturation density:

Part 2 : Axion Condensation in Neutron Stars

θ **and Axions**

To explain $\theta < 10^{-10}$ a mechanism was proposed: Make θ a dynamical quantity. Introduced a new field that relaxes to zero to minimize the free energy:

 $\theta =$ *a* f_a Axion field

A new high energy scale

R. Peccei and H. R. Quinn (1977), S. Weinberg (1978), F. Wilczek (1978)

The axion is a pseudo-scalar particle that arises as a Goldstone boson from the breaking of a new U(1) symmetry introduced by Pecci and Quinn.

 $\theta =$

θ **and Axions**

To explain $\theta < 10^{-10}$ a mechanism was proposed: Make θ a dynamical quantity. Introduced a new field that relaxes to zero to minimize the free energy:

 f_a

The axion is a pseudo-scalar particle that arises as a Goldstone boson from the breaking of a new U(1) symmetry introduced by Pecci and Quinn.

a

Axion field

A new high energy scale

R. Peccei and H. R. Quinn (1977), S. Weinberg (1978), F. Wilczek (1978)

Axion Mass and Energy

The axion coupling to gluons can be elimanted by a transformation of the quark mas matrix M_{q}^{\prime}

$$
M_q \to M_q \exp\left(2i\frac{a}{f_a}Q_a\right) \quad \text{where} \quad Q_a = \frac{M_q^{-1}}{\text{Tr }M_q^{-1}} = \frac{1}{m_u + m_d} \begin{pmatrix} m_d & & \\ & m_u & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}[m_u/m_s, m_d/m_s]
$$

This leads to an axion mass which can be calculated from the Chiral Lagrangian

$$
m_a^2 = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{f_a^2} \left(\frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \right) m_{\pi}^2
$$

And a corresponding contribution to the energy density or an axion potential

$$
V\left(\theta = \frac{a}{f_a}\right) = f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \sin^2\left[\frac{\theta}{2}\right]}\right] = \frac{1}{2} f_a^2 m_a^2 \theta^2 + \cdots
$$

Which is minimized at $\theta = 0$.

Hadrons at $\theta \neq 0$

the pion mass decreases with *θ*: $M_q \rightarrow M_q$ exp $(2i\theta \ Q_a)^T$

$$
m_{\pi}^2(\theta) = m_{\pi}^2(\theta = 0) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \sin^2 \left[\frac{\theta}{2}\right]}
$$

$$
m_{\pi}^{2}(\theta = \pi) = m_{d} - m_{u} \approx \frac{1}{m_{\pi}^{2}(\theta = 0)} = \frac{m_{d} - m_{u}}{m_{d} + m_{u}} \approx \frac{1}{3}
$$

$$
m_n(\theta) = m_0 + \sigma_{\pi n} \frac{m_\pi^2(\theta)}{m_\pi^2(\theta = 0)} + \cdots
$$

The resulting decrease in the nucleon mass

$$
m_n(\theta) = m_0 + \sigma_{\pi n} \frac{m_\pi^2(\theta)}{m_\pi^2(\theta = 0)} + \cdots
$$

favors a first-order transition to a ground state with $\theta = \pi$ If interactions are neglected the transiton occurs at $n_B \simeq 2.6 n_{\text{sat}}$

The decrease in the nucleon mass

Axion Condensation

If the nuclear interaction energy decreases with decreasing pion mass, axions would condense at

 $n_B < 2.6 n_{\text{sat}}$

To address if axions can condense in neutron stars we need to know how nuclear interactions are modified when the pion mass is reduced to about 80 MeV.

$$
\Delta E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{int}}(m_{\pi}) - E_{\text{int}}(m_{\pi}^{\text{phys}})
$$

Can interactions favor axion condensation? How does the interaction energy at nuclear density change with m_{π} ?

• A large cutoff dependence suggets that a systematic study of the pion-mass dependence of short-range components (LECs) is warranted.

M. Kumamoto, J. Huang, C. Drischler, M. Baryakhtar, S. Reddy (2024)

 $\textsf{Consistent}$ inclusion of $M_q\to M_q\; \exp\left(2i\theta \; \mathcal{Q}_a\right)$ in Chiral EFT warrants more work.

- In ChiEFT, interaction increases at first, and then decreases with decreasing *mπ*
- Uncertainty due D_2 does not alter this conclusion.

$$
-0.1 < \eta = \frac{D_2 m_\pi^2}{\tilde{C}_{1S_0}} < 0.1
$$

 n_B/n_{sat}

 n_B/n_{sat}

Axion Condensation in Mean Field Models

A 6% reduction of m_{σ} at $\theta = \pi$ would favor axion condensation at

Condensation is realized in Relativistic Mean Field models of neutron-rich matter when the meson mass is lowered. *σ*

$$
n_B^c \lesssim 2 n_{\text{sat}}
$$

Neutron Matter

M. Kumamoto, J. Huang, C. Drischler, M. Baryakhtar, S. Reddy (2024)

Conclusions

• Three nucleon forces that originate from D_2 and F_2 are significant. They are likley to influence all aspects of nuclear structure and dynamics. Their size will be scheme and regulator

• Understanding the quark or pion mass dependence of nuclear forces is important to address

- The enhanced coupling of pions to two nucleons can be important for pion-nucleus scattering, pionic atoms with implications for pion in dense nuclear matter.
- dependent.
- Independent determination of D_2, E_2 , and F_2 from nuclear structure and pion-nucleus scattering in needed to quantify uncertatinties in EFT calculations.
- the possibility of axion condnesation in neutron stars.

Conclusions

• Three nucleon forces that originate from D_2 and F_2 are significant. They are likley to influence all aspects of nuclear structure and dynamics. Their size will be scheme and regulator

- The enhanced coupling of pions to two nucleons can be important for pion-nucleus scattering, pionic atoms with implications for pion in dense nuclear matter.
- dependent.
- Independent determination of D_2, E_2 , and F_2 from nuclear structure and pion-nucleus scattering in needed to quantify uncertatinties in EFT calculations.
- the possibility of axion condnesation in neutron stars.

• Understanding the quark or pion mass dependence of nuclear forces is important to address

Maria Dawid Mia Kumamoto Mia Kumamoto wouter Dekens Neill Warrington

