Probing the QCD phase structure with heavy-ion collisions

Volodymyr Vovchenko (University of Houston)

Compact Stars in the QCD Phase Diagram (CSQCD2024) YITP, Kyoto, Japan

October 10, 2024

QCD under extreme conditions

- Dilute hadron gas at low T & $\mu_{\rm B}$ due to confinement, quark-gluon plasma high T & $\mu_{\rm B}$
- Nuclear liquid-gas transition in cold and dense matter, lots of other phases conjectured
- Chiral crossover at $\mu_B = 0$ which may turn into a *first-order phase transition* at finite μ_B

Key question: *Is there a QCD critical point and how to find it?*

QCD critical point theory estimates: State-of-the-art

Critical point predictions as of a few years ago

All over the place…

Figure adapted from A. Pandav, D. Mallick, B. Mohanty, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 125 (2022)

Including the possibility that the QCD critical point does not exist at all

de Forcrand, Philipsen, JHEP 01, 077 (2007); VV, Steinheimer, Philipsen, Stoecker, PRD 97, 114030 (2018)

Extrapolations from lattice QCD at $\mu_B = 0$

Ideally, find the critical point through first-principle **lattice QCD** simulations at finite μ_B

• Challenging (sign problem), but perhaps not impossible? [Borsanyi et al., Phys. Rev. D 107, 091503L (2023)]

Taylor expansion $+$ various resummations and extrapolation schemes from $\mu_B = 0$

No indications for the strengthening of the chiral crossover or critical point signals Disfavors QCD critical point at $\frac{\mu_B}{T}$ \overline{T} $<$ 3

alternative expansion scheme **Padé approximants**

Searching for singularities in the complex plane

Critical point:

- *singularity in the partition function*
- *real* μ_B *axis*

$Im \mu_B$ $T=T_E$ (μ_B) critical point $Re \mu_B$

Im μ_B $T>T_E$ (μ_B) Yang-Lee edge singularities Re μ_B

Above the critical temperature:

Yang-Lee edge singularities in the complex plane

Strategy: Extract YL edge singularity through (multi-point) Pade fits and see if it approaches the real axis as temperatures decreases

 CP $Z(2)$ scaling inspired fit:

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\,\mu_{LY} &= c\big(\,T - T_{CEP}\,\big)^{\Delta} \\ \text{Re}\,\mu_{LY} &= \mu_{CEP} + a\big(\,T - T_{CEP}\,\big) + b\big(\,T - T_{CEP}\,\big)^2 \end{aligned}
$$

 $T \sim 90-110$ MeV, $\mu_B \sim 400-600$ MeV Extrapolated CP estimate:

G. Basar, PRC 110, 015203 (2024)

NB: many things have to go right, systematic error still very large (up to 100%)

D.A. Clarke et al. (Bielefeld-Parma), arXiv:2405.10196; G. Basar, PRC 110, 015203 (2024)

5

Effective QCD theories predictions

- All in excellent agreement with lattice QCD at $\mu_B = 0$ and predict QCD critical point in a similar ballpark of $\mu_B/T \sim 5$ -6
- Comparable to where onset of quarkyonic matter might take place Bluhm, Fujimoto, McLerran, Nahrgang, arXiv:2409.12088
- 6 • If true, reachable in heavy-ion collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ ~3 − 5 GeV RHIC-FXT, CBM-FAIR, J-PARC...

Control parameters

- Collision energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.4 5020$ GeV
	- Scan the QCD phase diagram
- Size of the collision region
	- Expect stronger signal in larger systems

Measurements

• Final hadron abundances and momentum distributions **event-by-event**

Chemical freeze-out curve and CP

- Sets the **lower bound** on the temperature of the CP
- **Caveats:** strangeness neutrality $(\mu_S \neq 0)$, uncertainty in the freeze-out curve

A. Lysenko, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, VV, arXiv:2408.06473

Critical point, cumulants, and heavyion collisions

Cumulants measure chemical potential derivatives of the (QCD) equation of state

• **(QCD) critical point:** large correlation length and fluctuations

M. Stephanov, PRL '09, '11 Energy scans at RHIC (STAR) and CERN-SPS (NA61/SHINE)

$$
\kappa_2 \sim \xi^2, \quad \kappa_3 \sim \xi^{4.5}, \quad \kappa_4 \sim \xi^7
$$

 $\xi \rightarrow \infty$

Looking for enhanced fluctuations and non-monotonicities

Other uses of cumulants:

- QCD degrees of freedom Jeon, Koch, PRL 85, 2076 (2000) Asakawa, Heinz, Muller, PRL 85, 2072 (2000)
- Extracting the speed of sound A. Sorensen et al., PRL 127, 042303 (2021)
- Conservation volume V_c VV, Donigus, Stoecker, PRC 100, 054906 (2019)

Example: (Nuclear) Liquid-gas transition

VV, Anchishkin, Gorenstein, Poberezhnyuk, PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

Example: Critical fluctuations in a microscopic simulation

V. Kuznietsov et al., Phys. Rev. C 105, 044903 (2022)

Classical molecular dynamics simulations of the **Lennard-Jones fluid** near $Z(2)$ critical point $(T \approx 1.06T_c, n \approx n_c)$ of the liquid-gas transition

Scaled variance in coordinate space acceptance $|z| < z^{max}$

Heavy-ion collisions: flow correlates p_z and *z* cuts z (or η_s)

- Large fluctuations survive despite strong finite-size effects
- Need coordinate space cuts (collective flow helps)
- Here no finite-time effects

 $\tilde{\omega}^{\mathsf{coord}}$

Collective flow and finite-time effects explored in V. Kuznietsov et al., Phys. Rev. C 110, 015206 (2024)

Measuring cumulants in heavy-ion collisions

Cumulants are extensive, $\kappa_n{\sim}V$, use ratios to cancel out the volume

$$
\frac{\kappa_2}{\langle N\rangle}, \qquad \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_2}, \qquad \frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2}
$$

Look for subtle critical point signals

Theory vs experiment: Challenges for fluctuations

© Lattice QCD@BNL STAR event display

- Coordinate space
- In contact with the heat bath
- Conserved charges
- Uniform
- Fixed volume

Theory Experiment

- Momentum space
- Expanding in vacuum
- Non-conserved particle numbers
- Inhomogenous
- Fluctuating volume

Need dynamical description

Coordinate vs Momentum space

V. Kuznietsov et al., Phys. Rev. C 110 (2024) 015206

 α

Utilizing the canonical partition function in thermodynamic limit compute **n-point density correlators**

 $C_1({\bf r}_1) = \rho({\bf r}_1)$ $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)=\chi_2\delta(\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{r}_2)-\frac{\chi_2}{V}$ **local correlation balancing contribution** y_{cut} **(e.g. baryon conservation)** 0.5 1 1.5 2 1.0_n \rightarrow global, $\sigma_v \rightarrow \infty \leftrightarrow V_c = V_{total}$ $\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)=\chi_3\delta_{1,2,3}-\frac{\chi_3}{V}[\delta_{1,2}+\delta_{1,3}+\delta_{2,3}]+2\frac{\chi_3}{V^2} \qquad \delta_{1,...,n}=\prod_{i=2}^n\delta(\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{r}_i)$ \rightarrow local, $\sigma_v = 2.02 \leftrightarrow V_c = 5$ dV/dy 0.8 \rightarrow local, $\sigma_v = 1.20 \leftrightarrow V_c = 3$ dV/dy k_2 [B- \overline{B}] \langle [B+ \overline{B}]

.e. 6 **local correlation balancing contributions** \rightarrow local, $\sigma_v = 0.64 \leftrightarrow V_c = 1.6$ dV/dy $\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_4) = \chi_4 \delta_{1,2,3,4} - \frac{\chi_4}{V} [\delta_{1,2,3} + \delta_{1,2,4} + \delta_{1,3,4} + \delta_{2,3,4}] - \frac{(\chi_3)^2}{\chi_2 V} [\delta_{1,2} \delta_{3,4} + \delta_{1,3} \delta_{2,4} + \delta_{1,4} \delta_{2,3}]$
 local correlation
 $+ \frac{1}{V^2} \left[\chi_4 + \frac{(\chi_3)^2}{\chi$ local, $\sigma_v = 0.40 \leftrightarrow V_c = 1$ dV/dy — Gaussian \cdots V_c approach 0.2 0.8_{\odot} **balancing contributions** 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

Integrating the correlator reproduces known cumulant inside a subsystem

$$
\kappa_n[B_{V_s}] = \int_{\mathbf{r}_1 \in V_s} d\mathbf{r}_1 \ldots \int_{\mathbf{r}_n \in V_s} d\mathbf{r}_n \, \mathcal{C}_n(\{\mathbf{r}_i\})
$$

VV, Savchuk, Poberezhnyuk, Gorenstein, Koch, Phys. Lett. B 811, 135868 (2020)

Fluctuations at the LHC

Proton cumulants at high energy

Second-order cumulants such as $\kappa_2[p - \bar{p}]/\langle p + \bar{p} \rangle$:

O. Savchuk et al., PLB 827, 136983 (2022)

- Largely understood as driven by baryon conservation Pb-Pb 2.76 TeV Pb-Pb 5.02 TeV
	- baryon annihilation(\nearrow) vs local conservation(\searrow)
	- Additional measurement of $\kappa_2[p + \bar{p}]$ can resolve it • For some quantities like net-charge (or netpion/net-kaon) fluctuations, resonance decays are

 $\tilde{\eta}_{\rm cut}$ VV, arXiv:2409.01397

High-order cumulants: probe remnants of chiral criticality **RHIC 200 GeV:** hints of negative $\kappa_6 < 0$ (protons) Friman et al., EPJC 71, 1694 (2011) Δy $\overline{2}$ negative κ_6 of baryons $\begin{bmatrix} 200 \text{ GeV} \\ -200 \text{ GeV} \end{bmatrix}$ • are baryons even 1.0 **. Peg** Pb-Pb, 2.76 TeV 0.8 baryons p_r integrated 0.6 $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}$ \diagup $\kappa_{\rm e}^{\prime}$ $\kappa_{\rm 2}^{\prime}$ ى
پ -10 -0.2 -0.4 -0.6 $T = 160$ MeV -0.8 $= 155$ Me -1.0 -1.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.4 α VV et al., PLB 811, 135868 (2020)

improtant

more negative?

Local baryon conservation from density correlator

Introduce Gaussian (space-time) rapidity correlation into baryon-conservation balancing term

global conservation 1988 1989 198

Linear regime at small a establishes connection to the V_c approach $(V_c = kdV/dy, k \approx \sqrt{2\pi\sigma_n})$

- V_C approach has limitations, likely provides upper bound on the conservation volume
- Evidence for local (not just global) baryon conservation for 5 TeV data (in contrast to 2.76 TeV data)

VV, arXiv:2409.01397

Fluctuations and beam energy scan

- **1. Dynamical model calculations of critical fluctuations**
	- Fluctuating hydrodynamics (hydro $+)$ and (non-equilibrium) evolution of fluctuations
	- Equation of state with a tunable critical point [P. Parotto et al, PRC 101, 034901 (2020); J. Karthein et al., EPJ Plus 136, 621 (2021)]
	- Generalized Cooper-Frye particlization [M. Pradeep, et al., PRD 106, 036017 (2022); PRL 130, 162301 (2023)]

Alternatives at high μ_B : hadronic transport/molecular dynamics with a critical point [A. Sorensen, V. Koch, PRC 104, 034904 (2021); V. Kuznietsov et al., PRC 105, 044903 (2022)]

2. Deviations from precision calculations of non-critical fluctuations

- Non-critical baseline is not flat [Braun-Munzinger et al., NPA 1008, 122141 (2021)]
- Include essential non-critical contributions to (net-)proton number cumulants
- Exact baryon conservation $+$ hadronic interactions (hard core repulsion)
- Based on realistic hydrodynamic simulations tuned to bulk data [VV, C. Shen, V. Koch, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)]

[X. An et al., Nucl. Phys. A 1017, 122343 (2022)]

Equation of state with a tunable critical point

BEST equation of state: P. Parotto et al, PRC 101, 034901 (2020)

- 3D-Ising CP mapped onto the QCD
- Tunable CP location along the pseudocritical line
- Matched to lattice data at $\mu_B = 0$

New development: M. Kahangirwe et al, PRD 109, 094046 (2024)

Match to alternative expansion scheme from lattice QCD instead of Taylor expansion, extending the range to whole BES range

$$
p(T, \mu_B) = p^{\text{non-lsing}}(T, \mu_B) + p^{\text{Ising}}(T, \mu_B)
$$

regular **critical**

Alternative ways to embed the critical point:

[J. Kapusta, T. Welle, C. Plumberg, PRC 106, 014909 (2022); PRC 106, 044901 (2022)]

Equilibrium expectations for fluctuations:

[J.M. Karthein et al., 2402.18738; SQM2024]

19

Non-equilibrium evolution and critical slowing down

- Non-equilibrium evolution of (non-)Gaussian fluctuations
	- Strong suppression of critical point signals due to critical slowing down and (local) conservation

• Generalized Cooper-Frye particlization: maximum entropy freeze-out of fluctuations

[M. Pradeep, M. Stephanov, PRL 130, 162301 (2023)]

[O. Savchuk, S. Pratt, PRC 109, 024910 (2024)] • Diffusion and cross-correlations of multiple conserved charges and energy-momentum, balancing conservation laws

Calculation of non-critical contributions at

 V^{\prime}

- $(3+1)$ -D viscous hydrodynamics evolution (MUSIC-3.0)
	- Collision geometry-based 3D initial state [Shen, Alzhrani, PRC 102, 014909 (2020
	- Crossover equation of state based on lattice QCD [Monnai, [Schenke, Shen, Phys. Rev. C 100, 024907](https://github.com/vlvovch/fist-sampler) (2019
		- Cooper-Frye particlization at $\epsilon_{sw} = 0.26$ GeV/fm³
- Non-critical contributions are computed at particlization
	- \quad QCD-like baryon number distribution (χ_n^B) via $\bf{excluded}$ \bf{volume} $\bf{b}=1$ fn [VV, V. Koch, Phys. Rev. C 103, 044903 (2021)]
	- Exact global baryon conservation* (and other charges)
		- Subensemble acceptance method 2.0 (analytic) [VV, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014
		- or FIST sampler (Monte Carlo) [VV, Phys. Rev. C 106, 064906 (2022)] https://github.com/vlvovch/fist-sampler
- Absent: critical point, local conservation, initial-state/volume flu

*If baryon conservation is the only effect (no other correlations), non-critical baseline can be com Braun-Munzinger, Friman, Redlich, Rustamov, Stachel, NPA

Calculating cumulants from MUSIC hydro

Cooper-Frye formula:

$$
\omega_p \frac{dN_j}{d^3p} = \int_{\sigma(x)} d\sigma_\mu(x)\, p^\mu\, f_j[u^\mu(x) p_\mu;T(x),\mu_j(x)]
$$

Calculation of the cumulants incorporates **balancing contributions from baryon conservation***

$$
C_1^B(x_1) = \chi_1^B(x_1),
$$
 global baryon conservation:
\n
$$
C_2^B(x_1, x_2) = \chi_2^B(x_1) \delta(x_1 - x_2) - \frac{\chi_2^B(x_1)\chi_2^B(x_2)}{\int_{\sigma(x)} d\sigma_{\mu}(x)u^{\mu}(x)\chi_2^B(x)},
$$

\n
$$
\int d\sigma_{\mu}(x_i)u^{\mu}(x_i)C_n^B(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0 \text{ for } n > 1
$$

\n
$$
\text{balancing contribution}
$$

\n(baryon conservation)
\n(baryon conservation)

Generalized Cooper-Frye:

$$
\kappa_n^B=\prod_{i=1}^n\int\limits_{x_i\in\sigma(x)}d\sigma_\mu(x_i)\int\limits_{|y_i|<0.5,\,0.4< p_T<2} \frac{d^3p_i}{\omega_{p_i}}\,p_i^\mu\,\exp\left[-\frac{p_i^\mu u_\mu(x_i)}{T(x_i)}\right]\,C_n^B(x_1,\ldots,x_n)
$$

RHIC-BES-I: Net proton cumulant ratios (MUSIC)

- Data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \geq 20$ GeV consistent with non-critical physics (BQS conservation and repulsion)
- Effect from baryon conservation is stronger than repulsion but both are required at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \geq 20$ GeV
- Deviations from baseline at lower energies?

Hints from RHIC-BES-I

VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)

Subtracting the hydrodynamic non-critical baseline

Proton cumulants from RHIC-BES-II

Hydro EV: VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)

• No smoking gun signature for CP in ordinary cumulants

More structure seen in factorial cumulants

Conclusion 1:

Ordinary cumulants

Factorial cumulants

Factorial cumulants \hat{C}_n vs ordinary cumulants C_n

Factorial cumulants: ~irreducible n-particle correlations **Ordinary cumulants:** mix corrs. of different orders

$$
\hat{C}_n \sim \langle N(N-1)(N-2) \dots \rangle_c
$$
\n
$$
\hat{C}_1 = C_1
$$
\n
$$
\hat{C}_2 = C_2 - C_1
$$
\n
$$
\hat{C}_3 = C_3 - 3C_2 + 2C_1
$$
\n
$$
\hat{C}_4 = C_4 - 6C_3 + 11C_2 - 6C_1
$$
\n
$$
C_5 = C_4 - 6C_3 + 11C_2 - 6C_1
$$
\n
$$
\hat{C}_5 = C_5 + 3\hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1
$$
\n
$$
C_6 = C_6 + 3\hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1
$$
\n
$$
C_7 = C_6 + 3\hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1
$$
\n
$$
C_8 = C_3 + 3\hat{C}_2 + \hat{C}_1
$$

[Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017); Kitazawa, Luo, PRC 96, 024910 (2017); C. Pruneau, PRC 100, 034905 (2019)]

Factorial cumulants and different effects

- Baryon conservation [Bzdak, Koch, Skokov, EPJC '17]
- [VV et al, PLB '17] • Excluded volume
- Volume fluctuations [Holzman et al., arXiv:2403.03598]
- [Ling, Stephanov, PRC '16] • **Critical point**
- $\hat{C}_n^{\text{cons}} \propto (\hat{C}_1)^n/\langle \mathcal{N}_{\text{tot}} \rangle^{n-1}$ *small* $\hat{C}_n^{\text{EV}} \propto b^n$ *small*
- proton vs baryon $\hat{C}_n^B \sim 2^n \times \hat{C}_n^p$ same sign! [Kitazawa, Asakawa, PRC '12]
- $\hat{\zeta}_n^{CF} \sim (\hat{\zeta}_1)^n \kappa_n[V]$ depends on volume cumulants
- $\hat{\zeta}_2^{CP} \sim \xi^2$, $\hat{\zeta}_3^{CP} \sim \xi^{4.5}$, $\hat{\zeta}_4^{CP} \sim \xi^7$ **large**

From M. Stephanov (SQM2024):

$$
\omega_n = \hat{C}_n/\hat{C}_1
$$

Expected signatures: bump in ω_2 and ω_3 , dip then bump in ω_4 for CP at $\mu_B > 420$ MeV

UNIVERSITY OF **HOUSTON**

Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II

From M. Stephanov (SQM2024):

baseline (hydro):

VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, PRC 105, 014904 (2022)

Bzdak et al review 1906.00936

Expected signatures: bump in ω_2 and ω_3 , dip then bump in ω_4 for CP at $\mu_B > 420$ MeV

Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II

From M. Stephanov (SQM2024):

baseline (hydro):

VV, V. Koch, C. Shen, PRC 105, 014904 (2022)

- describes right side of the peak in \hat{C}_3
- **signal relative to baseline:**
	- *positive* $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_2 > 0$
	- *negative* $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_3 < 0$

Conclusion 2:

Controlling the non-critical baseline is essential

Bzdak et al review 1906.00936

Expected signatures: bump in ω_2 and ω_3 , dip then bump in ω_4 for CP at $\mu_B > 420$ MeV

Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II and CP

Adapted from Bzdak, Koch, Strodthoff, PRC 95, 054906 (2017)

 $\omega_n = \hat{C}_n/\hat{C}_1$

UNIVERSITY OF **HOUSTON**

Factorial cumulants from RHIC-BES-II and CP

How it may look like in $T - \mu_B$ plane

Based on QvdW model of nuclear matter VV, Anchishkin, Gorenstein, Poberezhnyuk, PRC 92, 054901 (2015)

Freeze-out of fluctuations on the QGP side of the crossover?

Nuclear liquid-gas transition

HRG with attractive and repulsive interactions among baryons

VV, Gorenstein, Stoecker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 182301 (2017)

Nuclear liquid-gas transition

VV, Gorenstein, Stoecker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 182301 (2017)

Factorial cumulants and long-range correlations

Acceptance dependence and long-range correlations

A. Bzdak, V. Koch, VV, in preparation

JNIVERSITY OF

Two-component model: produced ($p\bar{p}$ pairs) and stopped protons come from two independent fireballs

0.01 7.7 GeV 11.5 GeV 14.5 GeV 19.6 GeV 0.00 $|y|$ < 0.5, 0.4 < p_r < 2.0 GeV/c, Au-Au 0-5% -0.01 0.04 proton - antiproton, $c_{0}^{p}-c_{0}^{p}$ -0.02 STAR Au-Au 0-5%, PRC 104, 024902 (2021) -0.03 - hydro $(CE + EV)$, PRC 105, 014904 (2022) $Q_{\text{N-0.04}}^{2}$
 $Q_{\text{N-0.05}}^{2}$ Two-component model $c_2^{\overline{p}}c_2^{\overline{p}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$
 0.02 54.4 GeV 200 GeV 39 GeV 62.4 GeV -0.005 0.00 -0.010 _{0.0} 10 200 5 20 50 100 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2 $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ [GeV] Rapidity cut y_{max} Rapidity cut y_{max} Rapidity cut y_{max} Rapidity cut y_{max}

Data lie in-between single and two-fireball models \Box Difference between p and \bar{p}

Opportunities for BES-II:

- Further test the splitting between protons and antiprotons in $2nd$ order cumulants
- Critical point signal expected to break the scaling $=$ const. • Critical point signal expected to break the scaling $\frac{\hat{c}_1}{(\hat{c}_1)^n}$ = collst.
A. Bzdak, V. Koch, VV, in preparation 31

Lower energies

Lower energies $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \le 7.7$ **GeV**

STAR 2209.11940 $\sqrt{s} = 3GeV$ κ_2/κ_1 0.6_F 0.4 0.2 0.0 200 300 100 K_3/K_1 0.5 0.0 -0.5 200 300 100

Challenges: volume fluctuations, fragments, equilibration

Crucial to close the gap between 3 and 7.7 GeV energies RHIC-FXT, CBM-FAIR, J-PARC…

Dense matter EoS from flow measurements

- Use hadronic transport (UrQMD and SMASH) with adjustable mean field to use a flexible EoS
- Extract the EoS from proton flow measurements

UNIVERSITY OF **HOUST**

Summary

- QCD equation of state
	- Well-controlled at small baryon densities with lattice QCD where the transition is a chiral crossover
	- New developments point to the possible CP location at $T \sim 90$ -120 MeV and $\mu_B \sim 400 600$ MeV
- Proton cumulants are uniquely sensitive to the the CP but challenging to model dynamically
	- factorial cumulants are especially advantageous to distinguish critical and non-critical features
- BES-II data
	- Consistent with predictions due to non-critical physics at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge 20$ GeV (as was BES-I data)
	- Shows (non-monotonic) structure in factorial cumulants
	- Positive \hat{C}_2 and negative \hat{C}_3 after subtracting non-critical baseline at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ $<$ 10 GeV

Outlook:

- Improved description of non-critical baselines and quantitative predictions of critical fluctuations
- Acceptance dependence of factorial cumulants, understanding antiprotons

Thanks for your attention!