#### 陽子崩壊行列要素の格子QCD計算



#### 理化学研究所 計算科学研究センター

2024.8.20 @PPP2024

#### new physics through QCD



#### new physics through QCD



### 陽子崩壊 - 新実験計画

- Smoking Gun of New Physics
	- expected from GUTs
	- info contained in

1/τp∝ **[QCD param.]** \* [NewPhys. param]



- New experiments are under preparation
	- HyperKamiokande in Japan
		- ~ x5 V of SuperKamiokande



- DUNE (Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment) in USA
	- Liquid Argon
		- sensitivity to Kaon





## QCD matrix element of nucleon decay

$$
*\quad \ \langle \pi^0 | (ud)_\Gamma u_L | p \rangle = P_L [W_0 - \frac{ i \rlap{\hspace{0.02cm}q} }{m_p} W_1 ] u_p \qquad \qquad \Gamma = R, L
$$

*indirect method: LO approximation of W<sup>0</sup> in ChPT: Claudson, Wise, Hall, 1982*   $*$ 

$$
W_0[\langle \pi^0 | (ud)_R u_L | p \rangle] \simeq \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}f} (1 + D + F)
$$
  

$$
W_0[\langle \pi^0 | (ud)_L u_L | p \rangle] \simeq \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}f} (1 + D + F)
$$

*f* : pion decay constant



 $D + F = g_A:$  nucleon axial charge  $\langle 0 | (ud)_L u_L | p \rangle = \beta$ ..t m 4" I "~ I v m m **P e P e +**   $\langle 0 | (ud)_R u_L | p \rangle = \alpha P_L u_p$  $\langle 0|(ud)_L u_L|p\rangle = \beta P_L u_p$ 

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $*$ *direct method: calculates the form factor W<sup>0</sup> of p*→*PS matrix elements directly*

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$  . The contract of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$ 

 $*$ *comparison given later...*



### constraining GUT



• partial width  $\frac{1}{7}(p \to \pi^0 + e^+) = \frac{m_p}{32\pi^2}$  $32\pi$  $\sqrt{ }$  $1 \sqrt{m_{\pi}}$ *m<sup>p</sup>*  $\left[\sqrt{2}\right]^{2}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\sum$ *i*  $C^{i}W_{0}^{i}(p \to \pi^{0})$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\vert$ 2

- given GUT and  $W_0$ <sup>i</sup>( $\mu$ ) from lattice,  $C^i(\mu)$  is constrained using experimental lower bound of proton lifetime
- C<sup>i</sup>(μ) cancels μ dependence of W<sub>0</sub><sup>i</sup>(μ), function GUT parameters:
	- $\cdot$  m<sub>X</sub>,... for heavy boson mediated dim 6 nucleon decay
	- m<sub>c</sub> and spectrum of sparticles for colored higgs mediated dim 5 nucleon decay

**■**complement to LHC

*•* constraints on Ci (μ) may be transcribed into constraints of GUT parameters

#### DWF calculation of proton decay matrix elements after JLQCD 2000



- RBC & RBC/UKQCD collaborations
	- $N_f=2+1$  direct with AMA (2017)

• YA, T. Izubuchi, **E. Shintani**, A. Soni



#### DWF calculation of proton decay matrix elements  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  $\overline{a}$

- RBC & RBC/UKQCD collaborations
	- $N_f=2+1$  direct with AMA (2017)
		- YA, T. Izubuchi, **E. Shintani**, A. Soni | The Perty Control Review D 96, 014 | The Publical Review D 96, 01450 (2017)
- pros
	- DWF: renormalization is simple
	- AMA: statistically improved a lot
- cons
	- lightest pion ~ 330 MeV
		- linear extrapolation may eventually fail

Skirm chiral bag model (Martin-Stavenga 2016)

• drastic decrease towards chiral limit due to topological stabilization of proton



Skirm chiral bag model (Martin-Stavenga 2016)

• drastic decrease towards chiral limit due to topological stabilization of proton

カイラル外挿の系統誤差:

- 高次多項式との比較
- 線形性が良いので小さい
- 強い非線形性があると危険

物理点アンサンブルを使えば外挿不要 使わない手は無い!



Skirm chiral bag model (Martin-Stavenga 2016)

• drastic decrease towards chiral limit due to topological stabilization of proton

カイラル外挿の系統誤差:

- 高次多項式との比較
- 線形性が良いので小さい
- 強い非線形性があると危険

物理点アンサンブルを使えば外挿不要 使わない手は無い!







#### Error budget of RBC/UKQCD 2017

#### IMPROVED LATTICE COMPUTATION OF PROTON DECAY … PHYSICAL REVIEW D 96, 014506 (2017)

TABLE IV. Table of the renormalized  $W_0$  in the physical kinematics at 2 GeV in the MS NDR scheme. The fourth column contains the relative error of the systematic uncertainties. " $\chi$ " comes from the chiral extrapolation given from three different fitting ranges as explained in the text. The " $q^{4}$ " and " $a^{2}$ " columns are the uncertainties of the higher-order correction than  $\mathcal{O}(q^2)$  and the lattice artifact at  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ , respectively. The "m<sub>s</sub>" column is the uncertainty coming from using the unphysical strange quark mass.  $\Delta_Z$  and  $\Delta_a$  are the errors of the renormalization factor and lattice scale estimate, respectively.



## Lattice computation

- $*$ *Lattice gauge theory: gauge theory on discrete Euclidian space-time (lattice spacing a)*
- $*$ *a regularization of gauge theory with manifest gauge invariance*
- $*$ *with finite volume and "a", path integral can be performed using (super) computer*
- $*$ *continuum limit a*→*0 has to be performed, or discretization error must be estimated*
- $\sqrt{}$  $c_i^{(5)}\mathcal{O}_i^{(5)}+a^2\sum$  $c_j^{(6)} O_j^{(6)} + \cdots$  $\mathcal{L}(a) = \mathcal{L}_{QCD} + a$  $*$ *j*
- *i all O(5) break chiral symmetry*  $*$ 
	- $*$ *If the lattice action has chiral symmetry, no O(a) error!* → *more continuum like*
- $*$ *Lattice action with chiral symmetry available*
	- *Domain wall fermions (DWF) (Kaplan, Furman-Shamir),*   $*$ *overlap fermions (Neuberger)*
	- $*$ *helps preserve continuum like structure of operator mixing*
- $*$ *Wilson fermion breaks chiral symmetry, but, improvement make O(a) small*

## lattice matrix element: direct⇔indirect

- $*$ *direct method: W<sup>0</sup>*
	- $*$ *expensive on lattice*
	- $*$ *exact*
	- $*$ *milder non-linearity expected if any*
- $\ast$ *indirect method*:  $\alpha \& B \rightarrow W_0$ 
	- $\ast$ *cheap on lattice (meas. cost ~1/10)*
	- $*$ *approximation*
	- $*$ *chiral non-linearity could be an issue*



## lattice matrix element: direct⇔indirect

- $*$ *direct method: W<sup>0</sup>*
	- $*$ *expensive on lattice*
	- $*$ *exact*
	- $*$ *milder non-linearity expected if any*
- $*$ *indirect method*:  $\alpha \& \beta \rightarrow W_0$ 
	- $*$ *cheap on lattice (meas. cost ~1/10)*
	- $\ast$ *approximation*
	- *chiral non-linearity could be an issue*  $*$



## how to calculate LEC's: α and β

 $*$  $\langle 0|O_{RL}|p\rangle = \alpha u_p$  $O_{RL} = (\overline{u^c} P_R d) \cdot P_L u$ (color indices contracted with εijk to make singlet)  $J_p = (\overline{u^c \gamma_5 d}) \cdot u$ :proton interpolation operator $|i\rangle \frac{1}{2E}$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\langle 0|O_{RL}(\vec{x},t)\cdot \overline{J}_p(0)|0\rangle$  =  $\sum$  $\blacktriangledown$  $\langle 0|O_{RL}(\vec{x},t)\cdot$  $\langle i| \cdot J_p(0)|0\rangle$ 2*E<sup>i</sup> x x i*  $e^{-E_i t} \frac{1}{2L}$  $=$   $\sum$  $\langle 0|O_{RL}(0)|i\rangle \langle i|J_p(0)|0\rangle$ 2*E<sup>i</sup> i*  $(\text{large } t) \rightarrow e^{-m_p t} \frac{1}{2m}$  $\langle 0|O_{RL}(0)|p\rangle \langle p|J_p(0)|0\rangle$ 2*m<sup>p</sup>*  $\langle 0|J_p(\vec{x},t)\cdot\overline{J}_p(0)|0\rangle \rightarrow e^{-m_pt}\frac{1}{2m}$  $\sqrt{}$  $\langle 0|J_p(0)|p\rangle \langle p|J_p(0)|0\rangle$ 2*m<sup>p</sup>* ⇧*x*  $*$ linear combination of products of 3 quark propagators

 $*$ quark propagators: inverse of domain-wall fermion Dirac operator

 $*$ engineering the interpolation operator necessary to have good S/N

### Direct method to calculate Wo

 $p$ 

$$
\langle \pi^0 | O_{RL} | p \rangle = P_L [W_0 - \frac{i \dot{q}}{m_p} W_1] u_p
$$
  
\n
$$
O_{RL} = (\overline{u^c} P_R d) \cdot P_L u
$$
  
\n
$$
J_p = (\overline{u^c} \gamma_5 d) \cdot u
$$
 :proton interpolation operator  
\n
$$
J_\pi^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\overline{u} \gamma_5 u - \overline{d} \gamma_5 d)
$$
 :pion interpolation operator

 $*$ *three point function with momentum injection to pion in proton's rest frame*

$$
\sum_{\vec{y}} \sum_{\vec{x}} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot(\vec{y}-\vec{x})} \langle 0|J_{\pi^0}(\vec{y},t') \cdot O_{RL}(\vec{x},t) \cdot \overline{J}_p(0)|0\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
(t' \gg t \gg 0) \rightarrow e^{-E_{\pi}(t'-t)} e^{-m_p t} \frac{1}{2E_{\pi}} \frac{1}{2m_p} \langle 0|J_{\pi^0}|\pi^0(\vec{p})\rangle \langle \pi^0(\vec{p})|O_{RL}(0)|p\rangle \langle p|\overline{J}_p(0)|0\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|J_p(\vec{x},t) \cdot \overline{J}_p(0)|0\rangle \rightarrow e^{-m_p t} \frac{1}{2m_p} \langle 0|J_p(0)|p\rangle \langle p|\overline{J}_p(0)|0\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum_{\vec{x}} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \langle 0|J_{\pi^0}(\vec{x},t) \cdot J_{\pi^0}(0)|0\rangle \rightarrow e^{-E_{\pi}t} \frac{1}{2E_{\pi}} \langle 0|J_{\pi^0}(0)|\pi^0(\vec{p})\rangle \langle \pi^0(\vec{p})|J_{\pi^0}(0)|0\rangle
$$

*through some projection/subtraction, W<sup>0</sup> is obtained.*  $*$ 

 $*$ 

 $*$ 

 $*$ 

# **Operator Property**

- $\Omega$  Operator  $qqql$ :
	- Lorentz symmetry:  $(\overline{q^c}\Gamma q)(\overline{l^c}\Gamma' q)$
	- $\sum SU(3)_c$  singlet:  $\epsilon_{ijk}q^iq^jq^kl$
	- $\bullet$   $SU(2) \times U(1)$  symmetry determines the relative coefficients of the operators in low energy Lagrangian.
	- $\Omega$  relevant for nucleon decay:  $q = u, d, s$  ( $m_c > m_N$ ).
	- **Q** at QCD scale, lepton is treated trivially, so we are left with

$$
\mathcal{O} = \epsilon_{ijk} (u^{iT} C \Gamma d^j) \Gamma^{\prime} s^k \qquad \qquad \text{Lorentz spinor}
$$

 $(u, d, s)$  simply labeling different flavors, not necessarily mean real flavors

- ΓΓ′ Lorentz structure variation with fixed flavor ordering:
	- **Q** notation:  $S = 1$ ,  $P = \gamma_5$ ,  $V = \gamma_\mu$ ,  $A = \gamma_\mu \gamma_5$ ,  $T = \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ :
	- $Q$   $\mathcal{P}^-$ : SS, PP, AA, VV, TT.
	- $\mathbf{\Omega}$   $\mathcal{P}^+$ : SP, PS, AV, VA, TT.

# **Operator**

#### $(\Gamma \Gamma')_{uds} = \epsilon_{ijk} (u^{iT} C \Gamma d^j) \Gamma' s^k$

- $\Omega$   $\mathcal{P}^-$  operators
	- $\Delta$   $(SS)_{uds}$ ,  $(SS)_{dsu}$ ,  $(SS)_{sud}$
	- $\Omega$  (PP)<sub>uds</sub>, (PP)<sub>dsu</sub>, (PP)<sub>sud</sub>
	- $\Delta (AA)_{uds}$
	- $\mathbb{C}$   $(VV)_{uds}$
	- $Q(TT)_{uds}$
- S: switching  $(u \leftrightarrow d)$ :  $(\Gamma \Gamma')_{dus} = \pm (\Gamma \Gamma')_{uds}$
- **Q** Any vector, tensor indices can be eliminated.
	- $\Gamma(\Gamma')=S, P$  or  $L, R$  do everything: common form in the low energy effective Lagrangian  $\rightarrow$  Weinberg PRL 43 (1979) 1566.
- $(SS)_{uds} + (SS)_{dsu} + (SS)_{sud} + (PP)_{uds} + (PP)_{dsu} + (PP)_{sud} = 0$
- $\bullet$   $(\Gamma\Gamma)_{uds}$  with (SS, PP, AA, VV, TT) can be used as a complete set: We use them for the operator renormalization.
- $\Omega$  Similar property for  $\mathcal{P}^-$ .

# **Renormalization: mixing**



$$
\begin{pmatrix} SS \\ PP \\ AA \end{pmatrix}_{ren} = \begin{pmatrix} &Z'_{ND}^{i j} \\ &^{Z'_{ND}}^{j j} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} SS \\ PP \\ AA \end{pmatrix}_{latt}, \begin{pmatrix} SP \\ PS \\ -AV \end{pmatrix}_{ren} = \begin{pmatrix} &Z'_{ND}^{i j} \\ &^{Z'_{ND}}^{j j} \\ & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} SP \\ PS \\ -AV \end{pmatrix}_{l}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c} R \cdot L \\ L \cdot L \\ A \cdot LV \end{array}\right)_{ren} = \left(\begin{array}{c} {} \\ Z_{ND}{}^{ij} \\ \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} R \cdot L \\ L \cdot L \\ A \cdot LV \end{array}\right)_{latt}, \ \left(\begin{array}{c} R \cdot R \\ L \cdot R \\ A \cdot RV \end{array}\right) : \text{similar.}
$$

 $(\Gamma\Gamma')_{udu}\equiv \epsilon_{ijk}(\bar{u}^{ci}\Gamma d^j)\Gamma'u^k$  is renormalized in the same way.

Wilson fermion (Richards, Sachrajda, Scott):  $(RL)_{ren} = Z(RL)_{latt} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} Z_{mix}(LL)_{latt} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} Z'_{mix}(A \cdot LV)_{latt}$ no other terms appear at any order.

# **RI/MOM scheme renormalization**

$$
G^{a}(x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3) = \langle \mathcal{O}^{a}_{uds}(x_0)\overline{u}(x_1)\overline{d}(x_2)\overline{s}(x_3)\rangle.
$$

 $a$  labels chiral structure type  $\Gamma\Gamma'$ .

$$
\mathcal{O}^{a}_{uds\ ren} = Z^{ab}_{ND} O^{b}_{uds\ latt}
$$

 $\triangle$  Momentum p for all three external quarks, amputate it with three quark propagators:

$$
\Lambda^{a}(p^{2}) = \text{F.T. } G^{a}(0, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})|_{Amp}.
$$

 $\triangle$  Renormalization condition reads at scale  $p$ ,

$$
P^a_{ijk \, \beta\alpha \, \delta\gamma} \cdot Z_q^{-3/2} Z_{ND}^{bc} \Lambda_{ijk \, \alpha\beta \, \gamma\delta}^c = \delta^{ab},
$$

$$
M^{ab} = P^a_{ijk \, \beta\alpha \, \delta\gamma} \cdot \Lambda^b_{ijk \, \alpha\beta \, \gamma\delta} \to Z_q^{3/2} (Z_{ND}^{-1})^{ab}.
$$

# **Operator Mixing ?**

 $\beta = 0.87$  DBW2 glue ( $a^{-1} = 1.3$  GeV), and DWF with  $L_s = 12$  and  $M_5 = 1.8$ :  $m_{\text{res}} \simeq 1.6 MeV$ .



- 
- **Q** Off-diagonal elements negligible.  $\rightarrow$  No mixing.

## **Treatment of** Z<sup>q</sup>

scale dependence as  $Z_{ND}$  and

possible  $O(a^2)$  discretization error.

$$
M^{LL,LL} \rightarrow Z_q^{3/2}/Z_{ND}^{LL,LL}.
$$
\n
$$
(P_A \Lambda_A)^{3/2}/M^{LL,LL} \rightarrow Z_{ND}^{LL,LL}/Z_A^{3/2}.
$$
\n
$$
Z_A = 0.7798(5) \leftarrow \frac{\langle A_\mu P \rangle}{\langle A_\mu P \rangle}
$$
\n
$$
Q_A = \frac{Z_A \Lambda_A \Lambda_B}{\Lambda_B} \quad \text{where } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean, and } \Lambda_B \text{ is the mean and mean and mean, and
$$

# **Matching to** MS **(NLO)**

1.4  $\Phi$   $\Phi$   $\Phi$   $\Phi$   $\Phi$   $\Phi$ 1.2 1  $Z^{RI}(p)/Z_A^{3/2}$ 0.8  $Z_{\text{total}}(\mu=1/\text{a})/Z_{\text{A}}^{3/2}$ 0.6 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3  $(pa)^2$ 

LL

perturbative

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\overline{MS}}(\mu) = \overline{U}^{\overline{MS}}(\mu; p) \frac{Z^{\overline{MS}}(p)}{Z^{RI}(p)} Z^{RI}(p) \mathcal{O}^{latt}
$$

$$
Z_{total}(\mu)
$$

- 2-loop anomalous dimension: Nihei, Arafune (94)
- **Q** 1-loop matching (finite part): This work

NPR: This work  $\bigcirc$ 

The product is independent of  $p$ .  $\bullet$ Let's set  $\mu = 1/a$ .

$$
Z_A = 0.7798(5) \qquad \rightarrow \qquad Z_{total}^{LL,LL}(\mu = 1/a) = 0.73(1).
$$

 $\beta = 0.87$  DBW2 glue ( $a^{-1} = 1.3$  GeV), and DWF with  $L_s = 12$  and  $M_5 = 1.8$ :

 $\mathbf{u}_1$  is  $\mathbf{v}_2$ 

 $m_f \rightarrow 0$ 

### Recent improvement in RI/MOM renormalization

• issues

- p must be large enough, to control perturbation theory
- p must be small enough, to control lattice artifact  $(pa)^{n}$ n
- $\Lambda_{QCD} \ll p \ll 1/a$  Window problem
- solution
	- super fine lattice
	- perturbation theory: higher order
	- scheme: less contamination of low energy physics

NLO→NNLO RI/SMOM

#### Proton Decay Matrix Elements projects



#### use of **PACS** ensemble

#### N<sub>f</sub>=2+1 PACS ensemble

- Iwasaki gauge β=1.82
- stout smeared Wilson fermion:  $ρ=0.1$ , N=6
- ud and s quarks are on **physical point**
- $\cdot$  1/ $a$  = 2.333(18) GeV
- $\cdot$  64<sup>4</sup> is mostly used in this study:  $m_{\pi}L$ =3.8

statistical note • ~100 configurations •for each config • matrix elements: **AMA** • one exact and • 256 sloppy solves • NPR: • single point source

#### use of **PACS** ensemble



*d*"*x*!,*t*#&5*d*"*x*!,*t*#

 $\mathsf{statistical\ note}$ ntiction<br>• ~100 configurations •for each config • matrix elements: **AMA** • one exact and *PA*  $\left| \begin{matrix} \bullet & 256 \\ 5 & 0 & 510 \\ 7 & 7 & 374 \end{matrix} \right|$ • NPR: **•** single point source *<sup>u</sup>*"*x*!,*t*#&5*u*"*x*!,*t*#"*¯ d*"*x*!,*t*#&5*d*"*x*!,*t*#), *<sup>J</sup>*\*"*x*!,*t*#! <sup>1</sup> (*¯ <sup>u</sup>*"*x*!,*t*#&5*u*"*x*!,*t*##*¯*

まずくりこみから見ていきます Richards et al 1987 格子摂動論では  $\mathcal{O}_{RL}^{cont}(\mu) = Z(\alpha_s, \mu a) \mathcal{O}_{RL}^{latt}(a) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}$  $rac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} Z_{mix} \mathcal{O}_{LL}^{\text{latt}}(a)$  $-\frac{\alpha_s}{4}$  $\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} Z'_{mix} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma_\mu L}^{\text{latt}}(a),$  (47)  $\mathcal{O}_{LL}^{\text{cont}}(\mu) = Z(\alpha_s, \mu a) \mathcal{O}_{LL}^{\text{latt}}(a) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}$  $rac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}Z_{mix}\mathcal{O}_{RL}^{\text{latt}}(a)$  $+$  $\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle S}^{}$  $\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} Z'_{mix} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma_\mu L}^{\text{latt}}(a),$  (48) (Notation JLQCD2000)

#### RI/MOM 3q vertex matrix: comparison with DWF



off-diagonal larger than DWF, but,  $\leq 1\% \rightarrow$  treated as negligible below

くりこみ

- 3q, 2q 頂点関数の比から:  $Z_{PD}/Z_A^{3/2}, Z_{PD}/Z_V^{3/2}$  を求める
	- SF scheme の Zv または Z<sub>A</sub> をインプットして→Z<sub>PD</sub> を求める



- 3q, 2q 頂点関数の比から:  $Z_{PD}/Z_A^{3/2}, Z_{PD}/Z_V^{3/2}$  を求める
	- SF scheme の Z<sub>V</sub> または Z<sub>A</sub> をインプットして→Z<sub>PD</sub> を求める
- DWF (RBC/UKQCD) [2006~2017]

- 波動関数くりこみ(bilinear) で exceptional momentum (qμ=0) 使う
	- mf, SSB に過敏 ←→ mf



- 3q, 2q 頂点関数の比から:  $Z_{PD}/Z_A^{3/2}, Z_{PD}/Z_V^{3/2}$  を求める
	- SF scheme の Z<sub>V</sub> または Z<sub>A</sub> をインプットして→Z<sub>PD</sub> を求める
- DWF (RBC/UKQCD) [2006~2017]

- 波動関数くりこみ(bilinear) で exceptional momentum (qµ=0) 使う
	- m<sub>f</sub>, SSB に過敏 ←→ m<sub>f</sub>
- 3 quark 頂点関数は non-exceptional momentum



- 3q, 2q 頂点関数の比から:  $Z_{PD}/Z_A^{3/2}, Z_{PD}/Z_V^{3/2}$  を求める
	- SF scheme の Z<sub>V</sub> または Z<sub>A</sub> をインプットして→Z<sub>PD</sub> を求める
- DWF (RBC/UKQCD) [2006~2017]

- 波動関数くりこみ(bilinear) で exceptional momentum (qμ=0) 使う
	- ・ $m_f$ , SSB に過敏 ←→  $m_f$
- 3 quark 頂点関数は non-exceptional momentum
- RI/**S**MOM wave function renormalization: *Aμ*, *V<sup>μ</sup>* ← これを有効利用
	- ➡proton decay **S**MOM schemes: SMOM, SMOM*γμ*
		- 上の問題が解決することは DWF では確認されている
	- $\cdot$  MS matching w/ NLO perturbation theory
		- 都合:  $2x2 = 4$  schemes



- 3q, 2q 頂点関数の比から:  $Z_{PD}/Z_A^{3/2}, Z_{PD}/Z_V^{3/2}$  を求める  $b = 2/2$  for the exceptional case.
	- SF scheme の Z<sub>V</sub> または Z<sub>A</sub> をインプットして→Z<sub>PD</sub> を求める
- DWF (RBC/UKQCD) [2006~2017]

- 波動関数くりこみ(bilinear) で exceptional momentum (qμ=0) 使う
	- ・ $m_f$ , SSB に過敏 ←→  $m_f$
- 3 quark 頂点関数は non-exceptional momentum

SMOM schemes: fully utilize **non-exceptional** momenta

- RI/SMOM wave function renormalization:  $A_\mu, V_\mu \leftarrow$  これを有効利用
	- ➡proton decay **S**MOM schemes: SMOM, SMOM*γμ*
		- 上の問題が解決することは DWF では確認されている
	- MS matching w/ NLO perturbation theory
		- 都合:  $2x2 = 4$  schemes

#### A test of non-exceptional mom ΛA−ΛV: RBC/UKQCD [PRD 2008]



The success created a very good motivation to invest in non-exceptional momenta  $*$ 

### MS Z(2GeV) from RI/SMOM schemes ver.1



くりこみ由来の誤差は6-7%

- $Z_{LL}$ (MSb, 2GeV) = 0.98 (6)
- $Z_{RL}$ (MSb, 2GeV) = 0.98 (7)

## MS Z(2GeV) from RI/SMOM schemes improved



- $Z_{LL}$ (MSb, 2GeV) = 0.98 (6)
- $Z_{RL}$ (MSb, 2GeV) = 0.98 (7)



Improvement:

[Tsuji et al Lattice 2024]

- (improved stat.)
- use of SYM3q scheme
	- **→ NNLO available**
- remove *(pa)2* and higher
- remove non-pert. eff.
	- $\rightarrow$  fit variation
- estimate PT truncation
	- several interm. scheme

• 
$$
Z_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{LL} = 1.018(6)_{\text{stat}}(37)_{\text{sys}}
$$

• 
$$
Z_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{RL} = 1.016(5)_{\text{stat}}(41)_{\text{sys}}
$$

• note:  $Z_{LL}(MSb, 2GeV) = Z_{RL}(MSb, 2GeV) \approx 1 \rightarrow bare ME \approx ren. ME$ 

## MS Z(2GeV) from RI/SMOM schemes improved



- $Z_{LL}$ (MSb, 2GeV) = 0.98 (6)
- $Z_{RL}$ (MSb, 2GeV) = 0.98 (7)



Improvement:

[Tsuji et al Lattice 2024]

- (improved stat.)
- use of SYM<sup>2</sup> me  $\blacksquare$   $\blacksquare$   $\blacksquare$ preliminary<br>preliminary<br>and higher

remove non-pert. eff.

- ➡ fit variation
- estimate PT truncation
	- ➡ several interm. scheme

• 
$$
Z_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{LL} = 1.018(6)_{\text{stat}}(37)_{\text{sys}}
$$

• 
$$
Z_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{RL} = 1.016(5)_{\text{stat}}(41)_{\text{sys}}
$$

• note:  $Z_{LL}(MSb, 2GeV) = Z_{RL}(MSb, 2GeV) \approx 1 \rightarrow bare ME \approx ren. ME$ 

#### proton decay LEC: α, β



- α consistent with earlier DWF computation w/ long chiral extrapol.
- β as well
- no big surprise happening when going down to physical ud mass

#### proton decay form factor  $W_0$  for pion final state

Relevant form factor  $W_0 < \pi^0$  (ud)<sub>R</sub>u<sub>L</sub>  $p$  as an example • from ratio of 3 and 2 point functions *on-shell lepton: —q2=ml 2=0*  $2\pi$ • meson momentum  $\vec{p}$   $=$  $\frac{1}{L} \vec{n}_p$ *n <sup>p</sup>*=(1,1,1) *n*  $n_p = (0,0,2)$  $n_p = (0,1,2)$  $\langle \pi^0 | (ud)_R u_L | p \rangle$  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 0 0  $W_0$  [GeV<sup>2</sup>] -0.01 -0.01 -0.01  $-0.1$ RBC(2017) 139MeV -0.02 -0.02 -0.02 pion  $W_{\alpha}^{\text{RL}}$ *0* 135MeV  $\overline{\Phi}$  $\times$ pion -0.03  $-0.03$ -0.03 physical kinematics  $-0.15$  $t_s = 24$ -0.04  $-0.04$ -0.04  $t_s = 20$  $t_s = 18$  $0.1$  $-0.1$  $\overline{0}$  $0.2$  $m_\pi = 139MeV$ -0.05 -0.05 -0.05  $q^2$  [GeV<sup>2</sup>] -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10  $-10^{-5}$ *t t t*

- $|W_0|$  ~20% smaller than DWF (with a long chiral extrapolation) at  $q^2=0$
- consistent with sys. error ! no big surprise found for  $m_f \rightarrow m_{ud}$
- 10% total error is not a dream…

### RBC/UKQCD study

Phys. Rev. D 105, 074501 (2022)

#### Advantage

- New renormalization scheme (subtraction point)
	- Matching available one order higher (NNLO) : Gracey (2012)
	- $\rightarrow$  reduced systematic error ~1%
- Two lattice spacings  $\rightarrow$  continuum limit
- Chiral symmetry

#### **Disadvantage**

• Coarse lattice  $a$ =0.2,0.14fm  $\rightarrow$  large error after continuum extrapolation ~20%

JUN-SIK YOO et al. PHYS. REV. D 105, 074501 (2022)

TABLE VIII. Results for the form factors  $W_{0,1}$  on the two ensembles and in the continuum limit at the two kinematic points  $Q^2 = 0$  (first line) and  $Q = -m_\mu^2$  (second line) renormalized to  $\overline{\text{MS}}(2 \text{ GeV})$ . The first uncertainty is statistical, the second is systematic due to excited states, and the third is the uncertainty of the continuum extrapolation.

		$W_0$ [GeV <sup>2</sup> ]		
	24ID	32ID	Cont.	
$\langle \pi^+   (ud)_L d_L   p \rangle$ $\langle \pi^+   (ud)_L d_R   p \rangle$	0.1032(86)(26) 0.1050(87)(36) $-0.1125(78)(41)$ $-0.1139(78)(45)$	0.1252(48)(50) 0.1271(49)(50) $-0.134(5)(11)$ $-0.136(5)(12)$	0.151(14)(8)(26) 0.153(14)(7)(26) $-0.159(15)(20)(25)$ $-0.161(15)(20)(26)$	

#### RBC/UKQCD results  $\mathsf{I}\cup\mathsf{I}\cup\mathsf{I}\cup\mathsf{I}\cup\mathsf{I}\cup\mathsf{I}$

#### Phys. Rev. D 105, 074501 (2022)



FIG. 14. Comparison of our results ("NEW") for the proton decay amplitudes  $W_0(0)$  computed directly (filled symbols) and indirectly (open symbols) to previous determinations [38,40,42]. All results are renormalized to the  $\overline{\text{MS}}(2 \text{ GeV})$  scheme.

#### No surprise happened at physical point !

## Preliminary PACS results of proton decay FF *W*<sup>0</sup> (*MS*,2*GeV*)

- First Wilson fermion physical point (preliminary) results
- compared with DWF (RBC 2017, RBC 2022(physical point, continuum))



- (L) QCD parity invariance:
	- $W_0^{LR} = W_0^{RL}$
	- $W_0^{RR} = W_0^{LL}$
- PACS yet to do:
	- discretization error est.
		- no large error expected
- Consistent with DWF

#### Summary and outlook

- proton decay FFs till 2017 may suffer from chiral extrapolation error
- Now we can do computations on physical mass (no extrapolation)
	- aiming to remove final loose end
- Using PACS Wilson ensemble
	- RI/SMOM non-perturbative renormalization schemes applied
		- robust against SSB and mass effect

 $\cdot$  W<sub>0</sub> (p  $\rightarrow$  π<sup>0</sup>), LEC a and β consistent with DWF(2017) [preliminary] • RBC/UKQCD with domain wall fermion

- New renormalization scheme help reduce the systematic error
- $\cdot$  W<sub>0</sub> (p  $\rightarrow$  π<sup>0</sup>), LEC a and β consistent with DWF(2017)

 $\cdot \rightarrow$  No chiral limit surprise!  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  Martin & Stavenga (skirm chiral bag) PACS analysis to be finalized, envisioning comparable /better accuracy plan to use PACS10 configurations w/ continuum scaling study

## ご静聴ありがとうございました