





Sofia Aniversity St. Kliment Ohridski

Femtoscopy with hyperons as a gateway to study neutron stars

Dimitar Mihaylov @ HIN 2025

<u>PLB 850 (2024), 138550</u> <u>EPJA 61 (2025), 3, 59</u>

Special thanks to L. Fabbietti, J. Haidenbauer, V. Mantovani Sarti and Isaac Vidaña 2th April 2025, Kyoto, Japan Neutron stars (NS)

Very compact, very dense The sun packed in Manhattan

Radius: R ~ 10 km Mass: M ~ 2 solar masses (M $_{\odot}$) Density: few times ρ_0^*)

*) ρ_0 =0.16 fm⁻³ is the density of the nucleus of an atom, called "nuclear saturation density".



What is inside?





neutrons









• As density increases, it might become **possible** to form hyperons.

N.B. Results in a 'soft' nuclear equation of state and may fail to explain existing heavy NS.







- As density increases, it might become possible to form hyperons.
 N.B. Results in a 'soft' nuclear equation of state and may fail to explain existing heavy NS.
- Their effective in-medium mass (and potential U_A) depends on the interaction with the surrounding particles.

neutrons A hyperons





- As density increases, it might become possible to form hyperons.
 N.B. Results in a 'soft' nuclear equation of state and
- Their effective in-medium mass (and potential U_A) depends on the interaction with the surrounding particles.
- Attractive NΛ interaction.
 Known from e.g. scattering experiments Sechi-Zorn et al. Phys. Rev. 175, 1735 (1968) Alexander et al. Phys. Rev. 173, 1452 (1968)

may fail to explain existing heavy NS.







 As density increases, it might become possible to form hyperons.
 N.B. Results in a 'soft' nuclear equation of state and

may fail to explain existing heavy NS.

- Their effective in-medium mass (and potential U_A) depends on the interaction with the surrounding particles.
- **Repulsion**, e.g. due to many- (three-) body forces, may prohibit their formation. *In agreement with hypernuclei experiments.*



Hashimoto and Tamura, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys., 57:564-653, 2006

In-medium U_Λ(ρ) potential

U∧ @ ρ₀ ≈ −30 MeV



- As density increases, it might become **possible to form hyperons.** *N.B. Results in a 'soft' nuclear equation of state and may fail to explain existing heavy NS.*
- Their effective in-medium mass (and potential U_A) depends on the interaction with the surrounding particles.
- **Repulsion**, e.g. due to many- (three-) body forces, may prohibit their formation. *In agreement with hypernuclei experiments.*



Hashimoto and Tamura, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys., 57:564–653, 2006

The ultimate goal for N(N)Λ studies





The ultimate goal for N(N)Λ studies













Femtoscopy Overview (2-body)



Same event sample (SE): • Correlated pairs, obtained by combining particles from the same collision (event).



Number of pairs



Femtoscopy Overview (2-body)



• Same event sample (SE): Correlated pairs, obtained by combining particles from the same collision (event). Mixed event sample (ME): Uncorrelated pairs, obtained by combining particles from two different collisions (events).

Number of pairs









• The *correlation function C(k*)* = *SE / ME*, ideally equal to unity in the absence of any correlations.









• Attractive final state interaction (FSI)









• **Repulsive** final state interaction (FSI).



Femtoscopy
Koonin-Pratt equation

$$V(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r}^*)$$
two-particle wave function

$$C(k^*) = \frac{N_{SE}(k^*)}{N_{ME}(k^*)} = \int S(r^*) |\Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r}^*)|^2 d^3r^* \xrightarrow{k^* \to \infty} 1$$
Lisa et al.
Ann Rev.Nucl. Part Sci 55:357-402, 2005
Relative distance and ½ relative momentum
evaluated in the pair rest frame

- Measure $C(k^*)$, fix $S(r^*)$, study the interaction. •
- Detailed studies of the source in pp: • ALICE Coll. Phys.Lett.B 811 (2020) 135849 Mihaylov and Gonzalez Gonzalez, EPJC 83 (2023) 7, 590
- CATS framework to evaluate the above integral Mihaylov et al. EPJC 78 (2018) 5, 394 \bullet

• • **T**

Data on pΛ Cross section and correlation function





Data on pA

Cross section and correlation function



A LOOP TO ALL AND A LOOP TO AL

Superior low-energy reach and uncertainties of the ALICE data

N.B. Both observables contain $\frac{1}{4}$ S=0 and $\frac{3}{4}$ S=1



Potential: Usmani et al, PRC, 29:684-687, 1984





Potential: <u>Usmani et al, PRC, 29:684–687, 1984</u>





Potential: Usmani et al, PRC, 29:684-687, 1984







The present study: pΛ Comparison of the results to data



Disclaimer: the data is fitted differentially, for details: Mihaylov, Haidenbauer and Mantovani Sarti, PLB 850 (2024), 138550 Mihaylov and Gonzalez, Gonzalez, EPJC 83 (2023) 7, 590









Values from chiral effective field theory (χ EFT), which is presently constrained to the scattering data.





NEW

Scattering data only Scattering + Femto data 3.0 1.8-2.5 1.6 N²LO N²LO 2.0 (uj) ^{1.4} NLO19 1.5 c° -1.0 1.2-2σ 0.5 1.0 -0.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 *f*₀ (fm) *f*₀ (fm)





• Spin-averaged scattering length of 1.77 ± 0.18 fm. *Lower than current estimates*

- Feed these results into χEFT (NLO19*) and constrain its low energy constants.
 *) Haidenbauer et al. Eur.Phys.J.A 56 (2020) 3, 91
- Evaluate the corresponding U_{Λ} .

Scattering + Femto data





In-medium **U**_Λ(ρ) potential <u>PLB 850 (2024), 138550</u>





In-medium **U**_Λ(ρ) potential <u>PLB 850 (2024), 138550</u>





In-medium **U**_Λ(ρ) potential <u>PLB 850 (2024), 138550</u>



• A result compatible with repulsive 3-body forces



What about the EoS? Let's use all we have







- Take available (femto) data on the Λ and Ξ interaction.
 pΣ0 data available but low statistical significance
 Preliminary ALICE results on pΣ+ available but not used in this analysis
- A Brueckner–Hartree–Fock (BNF) approach adopted by Isaac *Vidaña*, using potentials from the theories describing the ALICE data, to construct the EoS.
- Adopt the Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkoff to evaluate the corresponding mass-radius relation for neutron stars.

I. Vidana et al. EPJA 61 (2025). 3. 59



<u>I. Vidana et al. EPJA 61 (2025). 3. 59</u>

Results

For the EoS and neutron star M-R relation



- State of the art data and models are unable to describe the existence of heavy NS. The hyperon puzzle remains an open question!
- Possible solutions introduce additional repulsion, e.g. three body forces.
- A more exotic solution is proposed by introducing QCD axions in neutron stars.

Where to now (with ALICE)?



- The ongoing data taking period (RUN 3) at the LHC will lead to significant increase in statistics in all measured systems. Some new systems will become accessible.
- Dedicated online triggers will lead to orders of magnitude improvements on targeted analysis, such as three-body correlations.
- Stay tuned for the results that will be made public at QM next week.

Where to now (with ALICE): Three body

- The ongoing data taking period (RUN 3) at the LHC will lead to significant increase in statistics in all measured systems. Some new systems will become accessible.
- Dedicated online triggers will lead to orders of magnitude improvements on targeted analysis, such as three-body correlations.
- Stay tuned for the results that will be made public at QM next week.



RUN 2 results: ALICE Coll, EPJA 59 (2023) 7, 145

For updated RUN 3 data and comparison to models follow the talk of L. Sersknyte at QM 2025 State of the art of the modeling in: <u>Kievsky et al, PRC 109 (2024) 3, 034006</u> Garrido et al, PRC 110 (2024) 5, 054004

Where to now (with ALICE): Three body and more



- The ongoing data taking period (RUN 3) at the LHC will lead to significant increase in statistics in all measured systems. Some new systems will become accessible.
- Dedicated online triggers will lead to orders of magnitude improvements on targeted analysis, such as three-body correlations.
- Stay tuned for the results that will be made public at QM next week.



RUN 2 results: ALICE Coll, EPJA 59 (2023) 7, 145

For updated RUN 3 data and comparison to models follow the talk of L. Sersknyte at QM 2025 State of the art of the modeling in: <u>Kievsky et al, PRC 109 (2024) 3, 034006</u> Garrido et al, PRC 110 (2024) 5, 054004

New measurements of $p\Sigma$ + will be presented at a QM poster by B. Heybeck

The take-home message

TO THE OFFICE

We need be actively collaborating, between theory and experiment, but also between different types of complementary experiments.

And we already have one fantastic example for that in the NA-N Σ sector:

- Femtoscopy constraints for $p\Lambda$ and now $p\Sigma$ + at low energies ALICE Coll. PLB 833 (2022). 137272
- Scattering data a slightly higher energies in pΣ- and pΣ+ <u>K. Miwa et al, PRL 128 (2022) 7, 072501</u> <u>T. Nanamura et al, EPJ Web Conf. 271 (2022) 04002</u>
- Theoretical implementations within χEFT <u>Mihaylov et al, PLB 850 (2024), 138550</u> <u>J. Haidenbauer et al, EPJA 59 (2023) 3, 63</u>
- Implications for the EoS and NS
 I. Vidana et al, EPJA 61 (2025), 3, 59
- And now challenging the three-body sector <u>ALICE Coll. EPJA 59 (2023) 7. 145</u> <u>Kievsky et al. PRC 109 (2024) 3. 034006</u> <u>Garrido et al. PRC 110 (2024) 5. 054004</u>
- And the list can be for sure expanded, e.g. by hypernuclei studies

Thank you for your attention







BACKUP

$\prod_{Chiral effective field theory (\chi EFT)}$





- The Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) calculation can be fine tuned to reproduce existing data using different parameters. Haidenbauer et al. *Eur.Phys.J.A 56* (2020) 3. 91
- NLO13 has slightly stronger 2-body attraction in vacuum.
 NLO19 leads to stronger 3-body repulsion in-medium.
- Within this model, ∧s cannot form inside neutron stars! This will explain the existence of measured massive neutron stars (M > 2 M₀).
- Experimental data is needed for both the 2-body and 3-body interaction to obtain any quantitative conclusions.
 CLAS Coll, PRL 127 (2021) 27, 272303 J-PARC E40 Coll. PTEP 2022 (2022) 9, 093D01 ALICE Coll. PLB 833 (2022). 137272 ALICE Coll. EPJA 59 (2023) 7, 145

μρΛ interaction *The femto era begins!*





Based on ALICE Coll. PLB 833 (2022), 137272

Haidenbauer et al. Eur. Phys. J.A 56 (2020) 3, 91







- Observation of the $N\Lambda \leftrightarrow N\Sigma$ cusp.
- Superior precision at low momenta over existing data.







- Observation of the $N\Lambda \leftrightarrow N\Sigma$ cusp.
- Superior **precision at low momenta** over existing data.
- Preference towards the NLO19. Differences in the coupling to NΣ, and in the interplay between two- and three-body forces. Important for the equation of state.
- NLO19 deviates by ~3σ at low k*.
 Further improvement of the model is possible!

ALICE data







Data: High-multiplicity pp collisions @ 13 TeV from ALICE

PLB 811 (2020) 135849 PLB 833 (2022) 137272

ALICE data + CECA One source to rule them all

1.4

40

60 80 100 120 140

k" (MeV/c)

160 180

14

80 100

k" (MeV/c)

140 160

120



1.4

60

k" (MeV/c)

80 100 120 140 160 180



80 100 120 140 160

k* (MeV/c)

60

12



40 60

80 100 120 140 160

k* (MeV/c)

• pp interaction: fixed to the Argonne v18 potential Wiringa, Stoks, and Schiavilla, PRC, 51:38–51, 1995

60 80 100 120 140 160

k" (MeV/c)

- pΛ interaction: Usmani potential, short-range repulsive core fitted Usmani et al, PRC, 29:684–687, 1984
- A combined fit of the mT differential pp and p∧ correlations! <u>Mihaylov and Gonzalez Gonzalez, EPJC 83 (2023) 7, 590</u>

ALICE data + CECA One source to rule them all



pp source (CECA) $m_{\rm T} \in [1.86, 4.50)$ GeV

pA source (CECA) $m_T \in [1.90, 4.50)$ GeV

 $--- r_{eff} = 0.96 \text{ fm}$

5

6

4

r* (fm)







Femtoscopy @ ALICE In small collision systems (pp)



Femtoscopy @ ALICE Resonances source model (RSM)

Details later in the presentation



- Study of the pp and p∧, using a Gaussian source, revealed mT scaling. The source size is different for different species.
- A hypothesis:

Gaussian "primordial core" **common for all species** modified by decays of short lived resonances.

Based on statistical hadronization model $\frac{2}{3}$ of all protons and Λ s are produced like that. Resonances decaying into Λ s, on average, live longer. <ct> for protons c.a. 1.7 fm/c <ct> for protons c.a. 4.7 fm/c

Femtoscopy @ ALICE Resonances source model (RSM)



Corrigendum in 2025

- Study of the pp and p∧, using a Gaussian source, revealed mT scaling. The source size is different for different species.
- The breakthrough of the Resonance Source Model (RSM) A "primordial core" common for all species modified by decays of short lived resonances.
- Any baryon-baryon pair will follow this scaling, and the source size can be extracted based on the <mT> of the measured pairs!
- This allows for precision studies of the strong interaction.

Femtoscopy @ ALICE Resonances source model (RSM)



19