

Hadron in Nucleus 2025 (HIN25) Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University

Femtoscopy correlation functions and mass distributions from production experiments [PRD 110 (2024) 114052]

M. Albaladejo, A. Feijoo, E.Oset, I. Vidaña (U. Catania, Italy) J. Nieves









<u>Outline</u>

- Invariant mass distributions *versus* Koonin-Pratt CFs
- Production CFs. Results for $T_{cc}(3875)^+$
- Limitations of the on-shell Lednicky-Lyuboshits approximation for CFs
 - ✓ proton-proton CFs
 - $\checkmark \pi^{\pm} K_S$ CFs and $\kappa/K_0^*(700)$
- Conclusions

Invariant mass distribution from a production experiment



Femtoscopy Koonin-Pratt equation

quotient of the number of pairs of particles with the same relative momentum produced in the same collision event over the reference distribution of pairs originated from mixed events (pairs originated in different collisions)



 $= \frac{N_{\rm SE}(k^*)}{N_{\rm ME}(k^*)} = \int S(r^*) \left| \Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r}^*) \right|^2 d^3 r^* \xrightarrow{k^* \to \infty} 1$ Lisa et al

Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.55:357-402, 2005

Relative distance and $\frac{1}{2}$ relative momentum evaluated in the pair rest frame

- Measure $C(k^*)$, fix $S(r^*)$, study the interaction.
- Detailed studies of the source in pp: ALICE Coll. Phys.Lett.B 811 (2020) 135849 Mihaylov and Gonzalez Gonzalez, EPJC 83 (2023) 7, 590

source S(r): probability density of finding the two hadrons of the emitted pair at a given relative distance r

CATS framework to evaluate the above integral Mihaylov et al. EPJC 78 (2018) 5, 394

basic object: wave-function

$$\begin{split} \psi^*(\vec{r};\vec{k}) &= e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} + \int d^3\vec{p} \frac{e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}}}{E - \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2\mu_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \langle \vec{k} | \hat{T}^{\text{QM}}(E + i\epsilon) | \vec{p} \rangle. \end{split} \qquad \text{half off-shell T-matrix} \\ &= e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} + 4\pi \int dp \, p^2 \frac{j_0(pr)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\mu_{ab}} + i\epsilon} T^{\text{QM}}(k \leftarrow p; E) \end{split}$$
only S-wave interaction

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\vec{r};\vec{k}\,)|^{2} &= 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}\int d^{3}\vec{p}\,\frac{j_{0}(pr)}{E-\frac{p^{2}}{2\mu_{ab}}+i\epsilon}T^{\mathrm{QM}}(k\leftarrow p;E)\right) + \left|\int d^{3}\vec{p}\,\frac{j_{0}(pr)}{E-\frac{p^{2}}{2\mu_{ab}}+i\epsilon}T^{\mathrm{QM}}(k\leftarrow p;E)\right|^{2} \\ &= \int d^{3}\vec{r}\,S(\vec{r}\,)\,|\psi(\vec{r};\vec{k}\,)|^{2} = 1 + 4\pi\int drr^{2}S(r)\left\{\left|j_{0}(kr) + \int d^{3}\vec{p}\,\frac{j_{0}(pr)}{E-\frac{p^{2}}{2\mu_{ab}}+i\epsilon}T^{\mathrm{QM}}(k\leftarrow p;E)\right|^{2} - j_{0}^{2}(kr)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

We follow a different approach and first perform the d^3r integration

$$\begin{split} S(r) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4R^2}\right) \qquad C(k) = 1 + 4\pi \int drr^2 S(r) \left\{ \left| j_0(kr) + \int d^3 \vec{p} \frac{j_0(pr)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\varphi_{ab}} + i\epsilon} T^{QM}(k \leftarrow p; E) \right|^2 - j_0^2(kr) \right\} \\ \\ \text{Gaussian source of radius R} \qquad \int d^3 r \int d^3 p \Rightarrow \int d^3 p \int d^3 r \\ F_R(q,q') &= \int d^3 \vec{r} \, S(r) j_0(qr) j_0(q'r) = \frac{e^{-(q^2 + q'^2)R^2} \sinh(2qq'R^2)}{2qq'R^2} \approx 1 + O(q^2R^2, q'^2R^2) \\ C(k) &= 1 + 2\text{Re}\left(\int d^3 \vec{p} \frac{T^{QM}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\varphi_{ab}} + i\epsilon} F_R(k, p)\right) + \int d^3 \vec{p} \, d^3 \vec{p}' \frac{T^{QM}(k \leftarrow p; E)[T^{QM}(k \leftarrow p'; E)]^*}{(E - \frac{p'^2}{2\varphi_{ab}} + i\epsilon)(E - \frac{p'^2}{2\varphi_{ab}} - i\epsilon)} F_R(p, p') \\ &= C^{\text{prod}}(k) + \delta C(k), \\ \hline \\ C^{\text{prod}}(k) &= \left|1 + \int d^3 \vec{p} \frac{T^{QM}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\varphi_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \widetilde{F}_R(k, p)\right|^2 \\ &= 2\text{Re}\left(\int d^3 \vec{p} \frac{T^{QM}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\varphi_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \left[F_R(k, p) - \widetilde{F}_R(k, p)\right]\right) \\ &+ \int d^3 \vec{p} \, d^3 \vec{p}' \frac{T^{QM}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{(E - \frac{p'^2}{2\varphi_{ab}} + i\epsilon)} \left[F_R(p, p') - \widetilde{F}_R(k, p)\widetilde{F}_R(k, p')\right] \end{split}$$

$$C^{\text{prod}}(k) = \left| 1 + \int d^3 \vec{p} \frac{T^{\text{QM}}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\mu_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \tilde{F}_R(k, p) \right|^2, \qquad \tilde{F}_R(k, p) = \frac{F_R(k, p)}{F_R(k, k)} = \frac{\int d^3 r \, S(r) j_0(kr) j_0'(pr)}{\int d^3 r \, S(r) j_0(kr) j_0'(kr)}$$

Invariant mass distribution from a production experiment



•
$$C(k) = C^{\text{prod}}(k) + \delta C(k)$$

• $\frac{d\sigma}{dm_{ab}^2} \propto C^{\text{prod}}(k)$, with

- $F(k,p) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm on}}{\alpha_{\rm off}} = \tilde{F}_R(k,p)$
- $\tilde{F}_R(k,p)$: form-factor that accounts for the off-shell production of a and b in the first step, with a regulator determined by the size of the source R

Assuming that only the S-wave part of the wave function is modified by the hadronic interaction, $C^{\text{prod}}(k)$ can also be written as

$$C^{\text{prod}}(k) = \left| \frac{1}{F_R(k,k)} \int d^3 \vec{r} S(r) j_0(kr) \psi^*(\vec{r};\vec{k}) \right|^2$$

$$1 + \int d^3 \vec{p} \frac{T^{\text{QM}}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\mu_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \widetilde{F}_R(k,p) = \frac{1}{F_R(k,k)} \int d^3 \vec{r} S(r) j_0(kr) \left[j_0(kr) + \int d^3 \vec{p} j_0(pr) \frac{T^{\text{QM}}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\mu_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{F_R(k,k)} \int d^3 \vec{r} S(r) j_0(kr) \left[e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} + \int d^3 \vec{p} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}} \frac{T^{\text{QM}}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\mu_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{F_R(k,k)} \int d^3 \vec{r} S(r) j_0(kr) \left[e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} + \int d^3 \vec{p} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}} \frac{T^{\text{QM}}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\mu_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \right]$$

The Koonin–Pratt femtoscopic correlation function and invariant mass distributions from production experiments are different objects which are identical only for a zero sourcesize.

For <u>point-like sources</u> $\lim_{R \to 0} S(r) = \delta^3(\vec{r})$ $C(\vec{k}) = \int d^3 \vec{r} S(\vec{r}) |\psi(\vec{r};\vec{k})|^2 \qquad \lim C(k)$

$$\lim_{R \to 0} C(k) = |\psi(\vec{r} = \vec{0}; \vec{k})|^2 = \left| 1 + \int d^3 \vec{p} \, \frac{T^{\text{QM}}(k \leftarrow p; E)}{E - \frac{p^2}{2\mu_{ab}} + i\epsilon} \right|^2 = \lim_{R \to 0} C^{\text{prod}}(k)$$



 $C(k) = C^{\text{prod}}(k) + \delta C(k)$

isoscalar DD* contact
 interaction regularized
 with hard-cutoff of
 around 400 MeV, which
 gives rise to a T_{cc}(3875)⁺
 bound by 860 KeV

The differences arise mostly from the *T*-matrix quadratic terms and increase with the source size

DD* SINGLE channel



 $C(k) = C^{\text{prod}}(k) + \delta C(k)$

• D^0D^{*+} and D^+D^{*0} correlation functions of interest to unravel the dynamics of the exotic $T_{cc}(3875)^+$.

Interaction inspired from the realistic model of A. Feijoo, W. H. Liang and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D104, 114015 (2021). It gives rise to a T_{cc} (3875)⁺ bound by 354 KeV

 $D^0D^{*+} - D^+D^{*0}$ COUPLED channels

$$C(\vec{k}) = \int d^3\vec{r} S(\vec{r}) |\psi(\vec{r};\vec{k})|^2 \qquad C^{\text{prod}}(k) = \left|\frac{1}{F_R(k,k)} \int d^3\vec{r} S(r) j_0(kr) \psi^*(\vec{r};\vec{k})\right|^2.$$

 $C^{\text{prod}}(k)$: coherent sum of amplitudes. This is correct for exclusive processes where only the observed particles a and b are produced



This is obviously not neccesarily the case in high-multiplicity event experiments. For inclusive reactions, for example initiated by pp collisions, the coherence is still preserved when the real and virtual production vertices, α_{on} and α_{off} stand for the $pp \rightarrow X + ab$ (real) and $pp \rightarrow X + ab$ (virtual) processes, respectively, with the rest of the particles (X) in the final state being the same for both reactions. In that case, the two Feynman amplitudes must be coherently added since both of them contribute to the same quantum amplitude of the reaction $pp \rightarrow X + ab$ (real).

In high-multiplicity events of pp, pA and AA collisions, where the hadron production yields are described by statistical models which lead to the extended sources S(r), it is unlikely that the coherent sum of amplitudes, which is assumed in $C^{prod}(k)$, is still appropriate.

The Koonin–Pratt scheme implies some kind of summation of probabilities, and not of amplitudes, since C(k) is obtained from the spatial superposition between the extended source and the squared modulus of the wave-function pair $|\psi(\vec{r};\vec{k})|^2$





resonances ($c\tau \sim 1 \text{ fm}$)

ALICE Coll., PLB, 811 (2020), 135849

moreover Koonin-Pratt $C(\vec{k})$ describes very well accurate CF data.... It seems more realistic a formalism based on the Koonin–Pratt equation.





Laura Fabbietti@HADRON25

on-shell factorization schemes [R. Lednicky, V.L. Lyuboshits Yad. Fiz. 35, 1316 (1981)]

Ŀ







0.8

Conclusions

- We have discussed the relation between the Koonin–Pratt femtoscopic correlation function and invariant mass distributions from production experiments, and shown that the <u>equivalence is total for a zero source-size</u>.
- We have also shown that a Gaussian finite-size source provides a form-factor for the virtual production of the particles.
- We have seen quite significant differences between production and Koonin–Pratt CFs. One might think that from a QFT perspective, the production CF is more theoretically sound than the Koonin–Pratt one, however the presumably lack of coherence in highmultiplicity-event reactions and in the creation of the fire-ball source that emits the hadrons certainly make much <u>more realistic a formalism based on the Koonin–Pratt</u> <u>equation.</u>

- The <u>off-shell effects</u> neglected within the Lednicky & Lyuboshits approximation, turn out <u>be essential to obtain realistic predictions</u> of CFs.
- High-statistics femtoscopic correlations, such as those recently observed by ALICE for $\pi^{\pm}K_S$ pairs, may provide useful information on hadron-hadron interactions, particularly when data are scarce or inexistent. However, to calibrate these methods, it is important to understand first the cases when interactions (phase-shifts and inelasticities) are known, and learn on the sensitivity of CF data to short distance (off-shell effects) physics.