Nuclear Matter properties from the ladder resummation method

Jose Manuel Alarcón

Universidad de Alcalá

Works done in collaboration with J. A. Oller

Introduction

Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:

Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory

Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory
  - Phenomenological:
    - Mean-field models

Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory
  - Phenomenological:
    - Mean-field models
- We wanted to provide a calculation based on EFT at finite densities

Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory
  - Phenomenological:
    - Mean-field models
- We wanted to provide a calculation based on EFT at finite densities
  - In-medium effects.

Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory
  - Phenomenological:
    - Mean-field models
- We wanted to provide a calculation based on EFT at finite densities
  - In-medium effects
    - Non-standard counting of nucleon propagators.

Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory
  - Phenomenological:
    - Mean-field models
- We wanted to provide a calculation based on EFT at finite densities
  - In-medium effects
    - Non-standard counting of nucleon propagators.
    - Mixing of all partial waves.

Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory
  - Phenomenological:
    - Mean-field models
- We wanted to provide a calculation based on EFT at finite densities
  - In-medium effects
    - Non-standard counting of nucleon propagators.
    - Mixing of all partial waves.

$$\theta(k_F - |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{a}|)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\cos\theta \geq \frac{\mathbf{k^2} + \mathbf{a^2} - k_F^2}{2|\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{k}|}$$



Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory
  - Phenomenological:
    - Mean-field models
- We wanted to provide a calculation based on EFT at finite densities
  - In-medium effects
    - Non-standard counting of nucleon propagators.
    - Mixing of all partial waves.
  - No regulator.
    - No systematics associated to the choice of a regulator or fine tuning of the scale.

$$\theta(k_F - |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{a}|)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\cos\theta \geq \frac{\mathbf{k^2} + \mathbf{a^2} - k_F^2}{2|\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{k}|}$$



Introduction

- There are many different approaches to the calculation of the EoS:
  - Ab initio:
    - Quantum Monte Carlo
    - Effective Field Theory
  - Phenomenological:
    - Mean-field models
- We wanted to provide a calculation based on EFT at finite densities
  - In-medium effects
    - Non-standard counting of nucleon propagators.
    - Mixing of all partial waves.
  - No regulator.
    - No systematics associated to the choice of a regulator or fine tuning of the scale.
  - Parameter-free
    - Based on NN observables.

$$\theta(k_F - |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{a}|)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\cos\theta \geq \frac{\mathbf{k^2} + \mathbf{a^2} - k_F^2}{2|\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{k}|}$$



Formalism

Formalism

- The starting point is the idea of [Kaiser, NPA 860 (2011)] → Resummation of in-medium ladder diagrams to all orders with a contact interaction (scatt. length)

Formalism

- The starting point is the idea of [Kaiser, NPA 860 (2011)] → Resummation of in-medium ladder diagrams to all orders with a contact interaction (scatt. length)
  - The work was extended to include eff. range in S-wave and P-wave → Off-shell effects when reaching the unitary limit.

Formalism

- The starting point is the idea of [Kaiser, NPA 860 (2011)] → Resummation of in-medium ladder diagrams to all orders with a contact interaction (scatt. length)
    - The work was extended to include eff. range in S-wave and P-wave → Off-shell effects when reaching the unitary limit.
- An expansion of the generating functional of in-medium Green function obtained in [Oller, PRC 65 (2002)] provides the diagrams to be resumed in the ladder approximation.

Formalism

- The starting point is the idea of [Kaiser, NPA 860 (2011)] → Resummation of in-medium ladder diagrams to all orders with a contact interaction (scatt. length)
  - The work was extended to include eff. range in S-wave and P-wave → Off-shell effects when reaching the unitary limit.
- An expansion of the generating functional of in-medium Green function obtained in [Oller, PRC 65 (2002)] provides the diagrams to be resumed in the ladder approximation.
- The sum of the Hartree and Fock diagrams gives a contribution due to the interaction of

$$\mathcal{E}_V = \frac{i}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(t_m L_d)^n}{n}\right) = -\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\log\left[\mathcal{I} - t_m L_d\right]$$

$$t_m = t_V \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(L_m t_V)^m = (t_V^{-1} - L_m)^{-1}$$

$$L_m = -i\int\frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3}\theta(k_F - |\vec{k}_1|)\int\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{i}{k_2^0 - \frac{|\vec{k}_2|^2}{2m} + i\varepsilon}(2\pi)^4\delta(k_1 + k_2 - 2a)|\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2\rangle\langle\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2|$$

$$L_d = i\int\frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3}\theta(k_F - |\vec{k}_1|)\theta(k_F - |\vec{k}_2|)|\vec{k}_1\vec{k}_2\rangle\langle\vec{k}_1\vec{k}_2|$$

Formalism

- t_m is found to satisfy the following integral equation in partial waves $t_m(p',p) = V(p',p) + \frac{m}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{k^2 - p^2 - i\varepsilon} V(p',k)[Y^*Y] t_m(k,p)$

Formalism

- t_m is found to satisfy the following integral equation in partial waves $t_m(p',p) = V(p',p) + \frac{m}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{k^2 - p^2 - i\varepsilon} V(p',k)[Y^* Y] t_m(k,p)$
- It can be solved exactly if we consider a contact (momentum dependent interaction)

Formalism

- t_m is found to satisfy the following integral equation in partial waves $t_m(p',p) = V(p',p) + \frac{m}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{k^2 - p^2 - i\varepsilon} V(p',k)[Y^*Y]t_m(k,p)$
- It can be solved exactly if we consider a contact (momentum dependent interaction) → Valid up to $k_F \leq \frac{M_\pi}{2}$

Formalism

- t_m is found to satisfy the following integral equation in partial waves $t_m(p',p) = V(p',p) + \frac{m}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{k^2 - p^2 - i\varepsilon} V(p',k)[Y^* Y] t_m(k,p)$
- It can be solved exactly if we consider a contact (momentum dependent interaction) → Valid up to $k_F \leq \frac{M_\pi}{2}$
- Vacuum integrals (no Fermi sea insertions) are regularized through a cutoff (Λ) → Λ is sent to infinity at the end.

Formalism

- t_m is found to satisfy the following integral equation in partial waves $t_m(p',p) = V(p',p) + \frac{m}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{k^2 - p^2 - i\varepsilon} V(p',k)[Y^*Y]t_m(k,p)$
- It can be solved exactly if we consider a contact (momentum dependent interaction) → Valid up to $k_F \leq \frac{M_\pi}{2}$
- Vacuum integrals (no Fermi sea insertions) are regularized through a cutoff (Λ) → Λ is sent to infinity at the end.
- We solve the integral equation for t_m with an arbitrary potential (contact terms) → $t_m(\{C_i\},\Lambda)$ → $t_m(\{a,r,v_2,\dots\},\Lambda)$

Formalism

- t_m is found to satisfy the following integral equation in partial waves $t_m(p',p) = V(p',p) + \frac{m}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{k^2 - p^2 - i\varepsilon} V(p',k)[Y^*Y]t_m(k,p)$
- It can be solved exactly if we consider a contact (momentum dependent interaction) → Valid up to $k_F \leq \frac{M_\pi}{2}$
- Vacuum integrals (no Fermi sea insertions) are regularized through a cutoff (Λ) → Λ is sent to infinity at the end.
- We solve the integral equation for t_m with an arbitrary potential (contact terms) → $t_m(\{C_i\},\Lambda)$ → $t_m(\{a,r,v_2,\ldots\},\Lambda)$
- After sending the cutoff to infinity, we recover the t_V on-shell → we can use the experimental data on NN scattering to compute t_m.

$$t_m(\{a,r,v_2,\ldots\}) = \left(\tilde{V}^{-1} - L_f - L_m\right)^{-1} = (\tilde{t}_V^{-1} - L_m)^{-1}$$

Formalism

- t_m is found to satisfy the following integral equation in partial waves $t_m(p',p) = V(p',p) + \frac{m}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{k^2 - p^2 - i\varepsilon} V(p',k)[Y^*Y] t_m(k,p)$
- It can be solved exactly if we consider a contact (momentum dependent interaction) → Valid up to $k_F \leq \frac{M_\pi}{2}$
- Vacuum integrals (no Fermi sea insertions) are regularized through a cutoff (Λ) → Λ is sent to infinity at the end.
- We solve the integral equation for t_m with an arbitrary potential (contact terms) → $t_m(\{C_i\},\Lambda)$ → $t_m(\{a,r,v_2,\ldots\},\Lambda)$
- After sending the cutoff to infinity, we recover the t_V on-shell → we can use the experimental data on NN scattering to compute t_m.

$$t_m(\{a,r,v_2,\ldots\}) = \left(\tilde{V}^{-1} - L_f - L_m\right)^{-1} = (\tilde{t}_V^{-1} - L_m)^{-1}$$

- Cutoff and regulator-independent results.

Results

Results

- Unitary limit [J. M. Alarcón, J. A. Oller, AOP 437 (2022)]

$$\mathcal{E}_V = \frac{8k_F^5}{m\pi^3}\int_0^1 s^2 ds \int_0^{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \kappa d\kappa \arctan\left(\frac{a_0 k_F I(s,\kappa)}{1 - a_0 k_F R(s,\kappa)/\pi}\right)$$

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{80}{\pi}\int_0^1 dss^2 \int_0^{\sqrt{1-s^2}} d\kappa\kappa \arctan\left(\frac{\pi I(s,\kappa)}{R(s,\kappa)}\right) = 0.5066$$

$$\mathcal{E}_V = \frac{8k_F^5}{m\pi^3}\int_0^1 dss^2 \int_0^{\sqrt{1-s^2}} d\kappa\kappa \arctan\left(\frac{a_0 k_F I(s,\kappa)}{1 - a_0 r_0 k_F^2 \kappa^2/2 - a_0 k_F R(s,\kappa)/\pi}\right)$$

$$\xi(k_F) = 1 - \frac{80}{\pi}\int_0^1 dss^2 \int_0^{\sqrt{1-s^2}} d\kappa\kappa \arctan\left(\frac{\pi I(s,\kappa)}{\pi r_0 k_F \kappa^2/2 + R(s,\kappa)}\right)$$





Results

- Cold atoms [J. M. Alarcón, J. A. Oller, PRC 106 (2022)]

Spin-balanced fermonic quantum liquid with P-wave interactions



- Symmetric Nuclear Matter [J. M. Alarcón, J. A. Oller, PRC 107 (2023)]





- To estimate the uncertainty:
  - Multiply the off-shell dependence of Lm times the gaussian regulator

$$\exp[-\frac{(k-M_\pi/2)^2}{\lambda^2}] \qquad \lambda > M_\pi$$

  - Consider a density-dependence nucleon mass

$$m_N(n) = \frac{m_N}{1+\frac{n}{n_s}\left(\frac{m_N}{m_N^*}-1\right)} \qquad m_N^* \in [0.7m_N, 0.9m_N]$$



Results

- Pure Neutron Matter [J. M. Alarcón, J. A. Oller, PRC 107 (2023)]





- To estimate the uncertainty:
  - Multiply the off-shell dependence of Lm times the gaussian regulator

$$\exp[-\frac{(k - M_\pi/2)^2}{\lambda^2}] \qquad \lambda > M_\pi$$

  - Consider a density-dependence nucleon mass

$$m_N(n) = \frac{m_N}{1 + \frac{n}{n_s}\left(\frac{m_N}{m_N^*} - 1\right)} \qquad m_N^* \in [0.7 m_N, 0.9 m_N]$$



Results

- To study the properties of nuclear matter at saturation density we use the parametization [Gandolfi et al., Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 404 (2010)]

$$S(n) = (E/A)_{PNM}(n) - (E/A)_{SNM}(n) \qquad S(n) = C_s \left(\frac{n}{n_s}\right)^{\gamma_s} \qquad S_0 = S(n_s) \qquad L = 3n_s \left.\frac{dS(n)}{dn}\right|_{n_s}$$

Results

- To study the properties of nuclear matter at saturation density we use the parametization [Gandolfi et al., Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 404 (2010)]

$$S(n) = (E/A)_{PNM}(n) - (E/A)_{SNM}(n) \qquad S(n) = C_s \left(\frac{n}{n_s}\right)^{\gamma_s} \qquad S_0 = S(n_s) \qquad L = 3n_s \left.\frac{dS(n)}{dn}\right|_{n_s}$$

- We fit this parametrization to our results at low densities.

$$C_s = 34.77(15)\ \text{MeV} \qquad 31.10 \le S_0 \le 36.57\ \text{MeV}$$
$$\gamma_s = 0.667(3) \qquad 57.82 \le L \le 78.29\ \text{MeV}$$



[J. M. Alarcón, J. A. Oller, PRC 107 (2023)]

Results

- Using astrophysical data to constrain the EoS



[J. M. Alarcón, E. Lope-Oter and J. A. Oller, arXiv: 2410.14776]

Results

- Using astrophysical data to constrain the EoS





[J. M. Alarcón, E. Lope-Oter and J. A. Oller, arXiv: 2410.14776]

Results

- Using astrophysical data to constrain the EoS







[J. M. Alarcón, E. Lope-Oter and J. A. Oller, arXiv: 2410.14776]

- Using astrophysical data to constrain the EoS







$$M_{\text{TOV}} \leq 2.7 M_\odot$$

[J. M. Alarcón, E. Lope-Oter and J. A. Oller, arXiv: 2410.14776]

Future applications

- A reliable EoS is important for multiple applications.

Future applications

- A reliable EoS is important for multiple applications.
- Dark Matter searches in Neutron Stars

Future applications

- A reliable EoS is important for multiple applications.
- Dark Matter searches in Neutron Stars



Future applications

- A reliable EoS is important for multiple applications.
- Dark Matter searches in Neutron Stars



HESS J1731-347
(Possible admixture
of NS+DM)

Future applications

- A reliable EoS is important for multiple applications.
- Dark Matter searches in Neutron Stars



Different DM fractions

HESS J1731-347 (Possible admixture of NS+DM)

Summary and Conclusions

Summary and Conclusions

- We develop a method to compute the energy per particle in nuclear matter at low densities.
- E/A is directly related to in-vacuum NN scattering amplitude.
- Regularizator-independent results
- Can be used to study:
  - Unitary limit
  - Cold atoms
  - Equation of state of symmetric nuclear matter and pure neutron matter.
- EoS of PNM constraints equation of state of neutron stars at low densities → Determination of the EoS of a NS
  - Astrophysical applications.
  - Dark Matter searches in compact objects.

FIN