Fingerprints of an early matter-dominated era

mainly based on JCAP 03 (2025) 30 [arXiv: 2408.08360]

In collaboration w/ A. Banerjee, A. Hait, and M. S. Islam

Debtosh Chowdhury Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur



The Frontier of Particle Physics: Exploring Muons, Quantum Science and the Cosmos June 17, 2025



DM Genesis in the early universe



Early Matter Domination $rac{ ho_\phi}{ ho_\gamma} \propto a$ T_{inf} 10^{20} meta-stable field $T_{\rm eq}$ 10^{16} $o_{\phi/\gamma}(z) \; [{ m GeV}]^4$ 10^{12} $p_{\phi} \sim q$ 10^{8} $\mathrm{EMD}_{\mathrm{NA}}$ ERD RD EMD 10^{4} **BSM candidates of a meta-stable** $T_{\rm RH}$ field 10^{-4} Dilaton $z_{\rm RH}$ ZNA Zeq 10^{-8} Moduli 10^{-1} 10^{2} 10^{3} 10^{4} 10^{5} 10 10^{6} 1 ➢ Curvaton $z \equiv a/a_{\rm eq}$ [1711.05007; 1803.08064; 1910.06319; 2003.01723;] $\dot{\rho}_{\phi} + 3(1+\omega)H\rho_{\phi} = -(1+\omega)\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}$ Main constraint: $T_{RH} \gtrsim few MeV$ $\dot{\rho}_{\gamma} + 4H\rho_{\gamma} = (1+\omega)\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}$ from **BBN** $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}M_n}\sqrt{\rho_\phi + \rho_\gamma}$ **Dissipation rate**

Generalized Dissipation Rate

A generalized dissipation rate depends on temp. and scale factor.

 $\Gamma_{\phi} \propto rac{T^3}{M_n^2}$ [Bodeker '06] **Example: Moduli decay Example:** oscillating scalar field ϕ with $V(\phi) \sim \phi^p$ $\Gamma_{\phi} = \hat{\Gamma} \left(\frac{T}{T_{ea}}\right)^n \left(\frac{a}{a_{ea}}\right)^k$ potential $\Gamma_{\phi
ightarrow f\,ar{f}} \propto m_{\phi}(t) \propto a^{-3(p-2)/(p+2)}$ Fermionic decay More Examples: $\Gamma_{\phi o \eta \eta} \propto m_{\phi}^{-1}(t) \propto a^{3(p-2)/(p+2)}$ Bosonic decay Γ_{ϕ} (n,k,ω) T(z) during EMD_{NA} $m_{\phi}(t) \propto \langle \phi(t) \rangle^{(p-2)/2}$ (0, 0, 0)decreases with zconst. decreases with z(1, 0, 0)T $\langle \phi(t) \rangle \sim a^{-6/p+2}$ $\langle \phi \rangle^{-2}$ (0, 3, 0)increases with z $\frac{T^3}{\langle \phi \rangle^2}$ (3, 3, 0)increases with z $\frac{T^2}{\langle \phi \rangle}$ (2, 3/2, 0)remains constant Scherrer, Turner '85; Shtanov et $\frac{T^2}{\langle \phi \rangle}$ al. '95; Kofman et al. '97; Garcia et (2, 6/5, 1/5)decreases with zal. '12, ...] Mukaida et. al. 1208.3399, 1212.4985

> Drewes, 1406.6243 Co et. al. 2007.04328





What are the signatures of an EMDE?



DM thermal decoupling in EMDE ▶ In EMDE: kinetic decoupling is SM DM determined by how the elastic scattering XS and Hubble vary with the plasma temperature. SM DM $T_{\rm FO}$ **Reheating initiates when** $\Gamma_{\phi} > H$. **For constant** Γ_{ϕ} : $T \propto a^{-3/8}$, and $H \propto T^4$. For s-wave elastic scattering, $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm el} \sim {\rm const},$ SM SM $\gamma_{\rm el} \sim T^4$ and $H \propto T^4$. As a result, DM cannot kinetically DM decouple before the onset of RD. $T_{\rm dec}$ [Gelmini et al. '08, Visinelli et al. '15, Waldstein et al. '16, Erickcek et al. '11, '15]

DM thermal decoupling in EMDE

SM

SM

SM

DM

DM

DM

SM

DМ

 $T_{\rm FO}$

 $T_{\rm dec}$

For p-wave elastic scattering, $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm el} \sim T^2$

 $\gamma_{\rm el} \sim T^6$ and $H \propto T^4$

DM kinetically decouples partially, before the onset of RD.

As a result, DM cools faster than the plasma during EMDE.

Due to this, the free-streaming horizon reduces in EMDE compared to the standard RD scenario.

Small-scale structure are formed due to the scales entering the horizon before RD.

[Gelmini et al. '08, Visinelli et al. '15, Waldstein et al. '16, Erickcek et al. '11, '15]

DM thermal decoupling in EMDE

- **Entropy injection during the EMDE depends on the plasma** temperature: $\Gamma_{\phi} \sim T$
- ln this case: $T \propto a^{-1/2}$, and $H \propto T^3$.
- As a result, the s-wave scattering is enough to partially decouple the DM from the plasma.
- Whereas, p-wave scattering fully decouples it from the plasma before the onset of RD.
- Such extra cooling of the DM receives an extra kick from the enhanced matter perturbations during EMDE.
- As a result, a boost in the formation of structures at sub-earth scales.

Kinetic decoupling of DM

Standard RD scenario:

 $\frac{dT_{\chi}}{d\ln a} + 2T_{\chi}(a) \left[1 + \frac{\gamma_{\rm el}(a)}{H(a)}\right] = 2\frac{\gamma_{\rm el}(a)}{H(a)}T(a)$ $T_{\chi}(t) \equiv \frac{g_{\chi}}{3n_{\chi}} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2}{E} f_{\chi}(\mathbf{p}, t)$

 $T_{\gamma} \sim a^{-2}$ $\gamma_{\rm el}(T) \ll H(T)$ $\gamma_{\rm el}(T)T \ll H(T)T_{\gamma}$ $T \sim a^{-1}$

Non-standard scenario:

 $T \propto a^{-\alpha} H \propto T^{\beta} \gamma_{\rm el}(T) \propto T^{(4+n)} \gamma_{\rm el}(T_{\rm dec}) = H(T_{\rm dec})$

 $T_{\chi}(a) \simeq \frac{T_{\text{dec}}}{2 - \alpha(5 + n - \beta)} \left[2 \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{dec}}} \right)^{-\alpha(5 + n - \beta)} - \alpha(5 + n - \beta) \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{dec}}} \right)^{-2} \right] \qquad \gamma_{\text{el}}(T) \ll H(T)$ $\gamma_{\rm el}(T)T \not< H(T)T_{\gamma}$

Kinetic decoupling of DM

Non-standard scenario:

$$T \sim a^{-\alpha} \quad H \sim T^{\beta} \quad \gamma_{\rm el}(T) \propto T^{(4+n)}$$

$$T_{\chi}(a) \simeq \frac{T_{\text{dec}}}{2 - \alpha(5 + n - \beta)} \left[2\left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{dec}}}\right)^{-\alpha(5 + n - \beta)} - \alpha(5 + n - \beta)\left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{dec}}}\right)^{-2} \right]$$

$n \leq n_{\text{dec}}$:	no kinetic decoupling,	$n_{\text{partial}} \equiv (2/\alpha) + \beta - 5.$		
$n_{\rm dec} < n < n_{\rm partial}$:	partial kinetic decoupling,			
$n > n_{\text{dec}} \text{ and } n \ge n_{\text{partial}}$:	full kinetic decoupling,	$n_{\rm dec} = \beta - 4$		

During entropy injection:

 $\Gamma_{\phi} \propto a^k T^m$

ϕ domination	k	m	α	Conditions for kinetic decoupling			
				$n_{ m dec}$	n_{partial}	s-wave	<i>p</i> -wave
$\omega_{\phi} = 0$	0	0	3/8	0	13/3		partial
(Matter)	0	1	1/2	-1	2	partial	full
$\omega_{\phi} = 1/3$ (Radiation)	-1	0	3/4	-4/3	1/3	partial	full
	1	0	1/4	4	11	-	-
	1	2	1/2	0	3		partial
$\omega_{\phi} = 1$	0	0	3/4	0	5/3		full
(Kination)	0	1	1	-1	0	full	full

[Banerjee, DC, Hait, Islam, 2408.08360]

Kinetic decoupling of DM partial decoupling with $\Gamma_{\phi} \sim \text{const.}$ partial decoupling with $\Gamma_{\phi} \sim T$ full decoupling with $\Gamma_{\phi} \sim T$ 100 1.0000 $\frac{\gamma \propto T^6}{H \propto T^4}$ $\gamma \propto T^6$ $\gamma \propto T^4$ 100 $H \propto T^3$ -0.8573 $H \propto T$ 100 0.7146 10 $T_{\rm kds}/T_{ m RH}$ 0.5719 $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{CIVE}}^{\mathrm{qs}}$ $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{CIVE}}^{\mathrm{qs}}$ 0.01 -0.28640.01 0.01 -0.14370.1 0.0010 10 100 10 100 10 100 1 $T_{\rm dec}/T_{\rm RH}$ $T_{\rm dec}/T_{\rm RH}$ $T_{\rm dec}/T_{\rm RH}$ $\gamma_0^{\rm EMD}$ $\gamma_0^{ m RD}$ $r \equiv$ $\lambda_{\rm fsh}^{\rm EMD} = \int_{t_{\rm dec}}^{t_0} dt \, \frac{v_{\chi}(t)}{a(t)} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{m_{\chi}}} \left[\int_{a_{\rm dec}}^{a_{\rm RH}} + \int_{a_{\rm BH}}^{a_{\rm eq}} + \int_{a_{\rm eq}}^{a_0} \right] da \frac{\sqrt{T_{\chi}(a)}}{a^2 H(a)} \,, \quad \begin{cases} T \sim a^{-\alpha}, \\ H \sim T^{\beta} \end{cases}$ $\lambda_{\rm fsh}^{\rm RD} = \int_{t_{\rm kds}}^{t_0} dt \, \frac{v_{\chi}(t)}{a(t)} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{m_{\chi}}} \left| \int_{a_{\rm kds}}^{a_{\rm eq}} + \int_{a_{\rm eq}}^{a_0} \right| \, da \, \frac{\sqrt{T_{\chi}(a)}}{a^2 H(a)} \,, \qquad \qquad \begin{cases} T \sim a^{-1}, \\ H \sim T^2. \end{cases}$ [Banerjee, DC, Hait,

Islam, 2408.08360]



Matter power spectrum



[Banerjee, DC, Hait, Islam, 2408.08360]

Halo mass fraction



Summary

Null results from thermal DM direct detection experiments give an impetus to consider other non-standard DM scenarios.

Freeze-in DM relic depends on the non-standard epochs of cosmology at high temperatures.

Early matter domination prior to BBN leads to a freeze-in scenario with larger coupling.

Depending on the background, DM kinetically decouples from the thermal bath with either partial (s-wave) or full (p-wave).

Such early decoupling leads to sub-earth mass halo formation.

Such sub-earth mass halos can give rise to annihilation signatures.

